


# WHY WASTE TIME? TURN YOUR LECTURES INTO DISCUSSIONS!

Eric Mazur Harvard University

Graduate School of Education Harvard University 7 December 1998



#### OUTLINE

- Problem
- Cause
- Remedy

#### PROBLEM? WHAT PROBLEM?

Traditional science education ineffective...

- lack of understanding
- frustration
- lack of basic knowledge

# LACK OF UNDERSTANDING

#### *LACK OF UNDERSTANDING*

Well, "hot" is a relative term...

You see, given temperatures rise, regardless of mass.

Yeah, Galileo observed rising temperatures will decrease with the exposure of an endothermic source.

Endothermic?

True transparence will persist until this one irresistible calorie interacts, thus altering the system.




## FRUSTRATION

#### FRUSTRATION

"I took four years of science and four years of math...

A waste of my time, a waste of the teacher's time, and a waste of space...

You know, I took *physics*. For *what*?"



## FRUSTRATION




## LACK OF BASIC KNOWLEDGE




#### OUTLINE


- Problem
- Cause
- Remedy


1. Lectures focus on transfer of information...





... but the information doesn't sink in!































2. Conventional problems reinforce bad study habits

#### Calculate:


- (a) the current in the 2- $\Omega$  resistor, and
- (b) the potential difference between points a and b.




# Are basic principles understood?

When S is closed, what happens to the:


- (a) intensities of A and B?
- (b) intensity of C?
- (c) current through battery? (d) voltage drop across A, B, and C?
- (e) total power dissipated?





conventional




conceptual














#### OUTLINE


- Problem
- Cause
- Remedy

Give students more responsibility for learning!


1. Recognize the inefficacy of the lecture method!



1. Recognize the inefficacy of the lecture method!




2. Move first exposure to material out of classroom




3. Use class to deepen and broaden understanding


... by transferring some additional information ...




... and by giving students opportunities to think.




Better yet: Learn from your students ...




Better yet: Learn from your students ...



Better yet: Learn from your students ...



... bring in your Teaching Assistants too...!



Main features:


- Pre-class reading
- In class: depth, not coverage
- ConcepTests


- 1. Question
- 2. Thinking
- 3. Individual answer
- 4. Peer discussion
- 5. Group answer
- 6. Explanation

1. Question
2. Dainking
3. Individual answer
4. Peer discussion
5. Group answer
6. Explanation





### Individual answers







# Group answers











Students converge on correct answer!



























Is it any good...?


































What about problem solving...?







So, better understanding leads to better problem solving ...



So, better understanding leads to better problem solving ...

(but "good" problem solving doesn't always indicate understanding!)



## Why does it work?

#### Students:

- gets them thinking
- helps uncover misunderstandings
- boosts confidence

# Faculty:

- change of format, not content
- with existing questions, little effort
- adaptable

Catherine Crouch (Harvard)
Deborah Alpert (Harvard)
Michael Aziz (Harvard)
William Paul (Harvard)
Tim Bozik (Prentice Hall)
David Hestenes (ASU)

Additional information: http://galileo.harvard.edu


©1998 Eric Mazur


#### ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS


- Reading (before class)
- Participation (during class)
- Problem-solving (after class)


Appropriate testing/assessment


## STUDENT REACTIONS












Incentive: Web-based assignment due night before class

- two free-response questions on content
- "What did you find difficult or confusing about the reading?"

## Instructor benefit:

- learns what students find difficult
- turn student difficulties into ConcepTests





# Quality of reading and assignment matters!

Class	FCI pre	reading	assignment	correct
11a (F96)	0.68	standard	summaries	83%
11a (F97)	0.68			
1a (F98)	0.49			

# Quality of reading and assignment matters!

Class	FCI pre	reading	assignment	correct
11a (F96)	0.68	standard	summaries	83%
11a (F97)	0.68	new	summaries	89%
1a (F98)	0.49			

# Quality of reading and assignment matters!

Class	FCI pre	reading	assignment	correct
11a (F96)	0.68	standard	summaries	83%
11a (F97)	0.68	new	summaries	89%
1a (F98)	0.49	new	responses	82%
			1	

## Reading:

- is a valuable skill
- provides multiple exposure
- is self-paced

Textbooks can be edited (but lectures can't)



#### PROMOTING PARTICIPATION

- Motivate students
- Choose appropriate questions
- Poll students for answers
- Reward participation

## CHOOSING GOOD QUESTIONS

Focus on a key concept

 Engage student interest demonstrations real-life situations magic/intrigue

Identify student difficulties (research)
 use optimum (35-65%) range
 use typical student responses

#### RESOURCES

Peer Instruction: A User's Manual Eric Mazur (Prentice Hall, 1997)

http://galileo.harvard.edu

#### POLLING METHODS

### Methods:

- show of hands
- flashcards
- classroom network

## Trade-offs:

- anonymity
- cost
- complexity and reliability

#### REWARDING STUDENTS

• Reward participation

credit for reading contingent on participation conceptual and quantititave questions on exams

• Don't penalize collaboration

noncompetitive grading promote group work on homework



#### PROBLEM SOLVING

- Home work
   mostly quantitative problems
   20% of final grade
- Workshops (discussion sections)

   tutorial worksheets
   instructor demonstrates one problem
   group work on difficult homework problems



#### THE PROBLEM WITH PROBLEMS

On a Saturday afternoon, you pull into a parking lot with unmetered spaces near a shopping area. You circle around, but there are no empty spots. You decide to wait at one end of the lot, where you can see (and command) about 20 spaces.


#### THE PROBLEM WITH PROBLEMS

On a Saturday afternoon, you pull into a parking lot with unmetered spaces near a shopping area. You circle around, but there are no empty spots. You decide to wait at one end of the lot, where you can see (and command) about 20 spaces. On average people shop for about 2 hours.

#### THE PROBLEM WITH PROBLEMS

On a Saturday afternoon, you pull into a parking lot with unmetered spaces near a shopping area. You circle around, but there are no empty spots. You decide to wait at one end of the lot, where you can see (and command) about 20 spaces. On average people shop for about 2 hours.

## TRADITIONAL VS. PEER INSTRUCTION





#### COVERAGE

### Traditional lecture:

- "covers" a lot
- students retain little

#### Peer Instruction:

- less material treated in class (reading & lecture can still cover the same)
- students learn more



### EFFECTIVE TIME MANAGEMENT

### Time allotment:

<ul> <li>ConcepTests (incl. explanations)</li> </ul>	1/2 - 2/3
--	-----------

## ConcepTest:

- Read question
- Individual thinking
- Discussion (listen, don't talk!)
- Explanation

10–15 minutes

1 minute

1 minute

2–3 minutes

as necessary