Control of coherent optical phonons



Yale University New Haven, CT, 24 April 2008



Chris Roeser



Maria Kandyla



Arantza Mendioroz



Eric Mazur

and also....

Albert Kim Paul Callan Eli Glezer Li Huang Yakir Siegal Prakriti Tayalia Jason Orcutt

Dr. Peter Grosse (Aachen) Dr. Paul Tangney (Princeton) Prof. Steven Fahy (Cork) Nick Choly (Harvard University) Prof. Tim Kaxiras (Harvard University)



























probe wave packet dynamics



nuclear separation





Bowman et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. 161, 297 (1989)



Bowman et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. 161, 297 (1989)



Bowman et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. 161, 297 (1989)

wave packet control



Gerdy et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. 171, 1 (1990)

wave packet control



Gerdy et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. 171, 1 (1990)

phase delay: π



Gerdy et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. 171, 1 (1990)

phase delay: π



Gerdy et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. 171, 1 (1990)

phase delay: π



Gerdy et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. 171, 1 (1990)

phase delay: 2π



Gerdy et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. 171, 1 (1990)

phase delay: 2π



Gerdy et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. 171, 1 (1990)

phase delay: 2π



Gerdy et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. 171, 1 (1990)

phase delay: 3π



Gerdy et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. 171, 1 (1990)

phase delay: 4π



Gerdy et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. 171, 1 (1990)

limited control of chemical reactions demonstrated

can we optically control the state of a solid?





photons excite valence electrons...





...and create free carriers...



... causing electronic and structural changes...



...which we detect with a second laser pulse.




























Outline

- experimental
- coherent phonons
- optical control



































choice of angles



Fresnel equations cannot be inverted analytically

choice of angles



need numerical inversion

choice of angles



 $R_1 = 45^{\circ} p$ -pol, $R_2 = 45^{\circ} s$ -pol

choice of angles



 $R_1 = 60^{\circ} p$ -pol, $R_2 = 45^{\circ} p$ -pol

choice of angles



 $R_1 = 78^{\circ} p$ -pol, $R_2 = 45^{\circ} p$ -pol

choice of angles



 $R_1 = 78^{\circ} p$ -pol, $R_2 = 45^{\circ} p$ -pol





E.D. Palik, Handbook of Optical Constants of Solids (Academic Press, 1985)










Experimental



Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 185 (1998)

Experimental



Experimental



Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 185 (1998)



can observe dielectric to metallic transition

Outline

- experimental
- coherent phonons
- optical control



tellurium has hexagonal arrangement











helical radius x = 0.27d



A₁ mode modulates x







photoexcitation causes modulation of helical radius



band structure very sensitive to helical radius

15% change drastically alters band structure



should cause a red-shift of dielectric function







Phys. Rev. B 68, 012301 (2003)



Phys. Rev. B 68, 012301 (2003)

data agree well with literature values



Phys. Rev. B 68, 012301 (2003)

now vary pump probe delay



Phys. Rev. B 68, 012301 (2003)



"two-atom model"



bonding-antibonding splitting



Lorentz model



photon promotes electron...



...weakening binding force...



...establishing new equilibrium positions



ions move to new equilibrium positions...



...diminishing splitting...



...and red-shifting the dielectric function



ions overshoot equilibrium position...



...reversing travel and overshooting again



oscillation around "displaced" equilibrium



Tangney and Fahy, Phys. Rev. B 65, 054302 (2002)
ground state equilibrium at x/d = 0.27



equilibrium position shifts upon excitation





band structure depends on lattice configuration



dielectric function reveals band structure changes



track resonance energy



Phys. Rev. B 68, 012301 (2003)

track resonance energy



Phys. Rev. B 68, 012301 (2003)

track resonance energy



track resonance energy



Phys. Rev. B 68, 012301 (2003)

 $\Delta E_{max} \approx 0.3 \text{ eV}$ and so $\Delta x/x \approx 0.05$



Phys. Rev. B 68, 012301 (2003)

Outline

experimental

coherent phonons

optical control

semiclassical model of nuclear motion



nuclear wave packet sits at minimum



laser pulse excites electrons, alters potential



nuclear wave packets on new potential



wave packet oscillates on new potential



excite again at turning point...



...so wave packet lands at minimum in new potential



leaving lattice displaced (without oscillations)



if timing wrong...



...we get oscillations on the new potential



if fluence wrong...



excite to other potential surface...



...and wave packet oscillates



 $F_1 = 0.71 F_{\text{th}}$ $F_2 = 0.43 F_{\text{th}}$ $\tau = 467 \text{ fs}$



 $F_1 = 0.43 F_{\text{th}}$ $F_2 = 0.35 F_{\text{th}}$ $\tau = 127 \text{ fs}$



 $F_1 = 0.43 F_{\text{th}}$ $F_2 = 0.33 F_{\text{th}}$ $\tau = 127 \text{ fs}$



 $F_1 = 0.57 F_{\rm th}$



 $F_1 = 0.57 F_{\text{th}}$ $F_2 = 0.46 F_{\text{th}}$ $\tau = 133 \text{ fs}$



 $F_1 = 0.57 F_{\text{th}}$ $F_2 = 0.46 F_{\text{th}}$ $\tau = 133 \text{ fs}$



...but delay a bit less than half a period

 $F_1 = 0.43 F_{\rm th}$



 $F_1 = 0.43 F_{\text{th}}$ $F_2 = 0.33 F_{\text{th}}$ $\tau = 127 \text{ fs}$



delay again less than half a period

 $F_1 = 0.43 F_{\text{th}}$ $F_2 = 0.33 F_{\text{th}}$ $\tau = 267 \text{ fs}$



delay a bit less than a period

 $F_1 = 0.57 F_{\rm th}$



 $F_1 = 0.57 F_{\text{th}}$ $F_2 = 0.45 F_{\text{th}}$ $\tau = 133 \text{ fs}$



cancellation on first swing

 $F_1 = 0.71 F_{\rm th}$


$F_1 = 0.71 F_{\text{th}}$ $F_2 = 0.34 F_{\text{th}}$ $\tau = 467 \text{ fs}$



cancellation on second swing

two things to note:



second pulse always earlier than expected, and...

two things to note:



... resonance continues to shift after second pulse

excited electrons 'instantaneously' alter potential



but nuclear rearrangement also alters potential



Summary

- can observe dynamics of ultrafast phase transition
- excitation of large-amplitude coherent phonons
- phonons can be controlled optically
- electronic and nuclear configurations affect dynamics



Funding:

National Science Foundation

for a copy of this presentation:

http://mazur-www.harvard.edu