Subcellular surgery and nanoneurosurgery using femtosecond laser pulses



NATO Advanced Study Institute on Biophotonics Centro Ettore Majorana Erice, Italy, 7 July 2009





lva Maxwell



Sam Chung





Valeria Nuzzo

Alexander Heisterkamp

and also....

Dr. Eli Glezer Prof. Chris Schaffer Nozomi Nishimura Debayoti Datta Dr. Jonathan Ashcom Jeremy Hwang Dr. Nan Shen Roanna Ruiz Anja Schmalz Prakriti Tayalia

Prof. Don Ingber (Harvard Medical School) Prof. Aravi Samuel (Harvard)
Prof. Chris Gabel (Boston University)
Dr. Damon Clark (Harvard University)
Prof. J.M. Underwood (UMass Worcester)
Prof. J.A. Nickerson (UMass Worcester)
Prof. Philip LeDuc (Carnegie Mellon)
Prof. Sanjay Kumar (UC Berkeley)

why use femtosecond pulses?

















gap determines interaction





gap determines interaction





- femtosecond materials interactions
- subcellular surgery
- nanoneurosurgery
- optofection

photon energy < bandgap \longrightarrow nonlinear interaction																

Linear optics:

$$\vec{P} = \chi \vec{E}$$

Linear optics:

$$\vec{P} = \chi \vec{E}$$

Nonlinear polarization:

$$P = \chi^{(1)}E + \chi^{(2)}E^2 + \chi^{(3)}E^3 + \dots$$

Linear optics:

$$\vec{P} = \chi \vec{E}$$

Nonlinear polarization:

$$P = \chi^{(1)}E + \chi^{(2)}E^2 + \chi^{(3)}E^3 + \dots$$

and so:

$$P = P^{(1)} + P^{(2)} + P^{(3)} + \dots$$

Linear optics:

$$\vec{P} = \chi \vec{E}$$

Nonlinear polarization:

$$P = \chi^{(1)}E + \chi^{(2)}E^2 + \chi^{(3)}E^3 + \dots$$

and so:

$$P = P^{(1)} + P^{(2)} + P^{(3)} + \dots$$
$$P^{(2)} \approx P^{(1)} \text{ when } E = E_{at} \approx \frac{e}{a} \text{, and so } \chi^{(n)} \approx \frac{\chi^{(1)}}{E_{at}^{n-1}}$$

Nonlinear polarization can drive new field:

$$\nabla^2 \vec{E} + \frac{n^2}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{4\pi}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{P}}{\partial t^2}$$

Nonlinear polarization can drive new field:

$$\nabla^2 \vec{E} + \frac{n^2}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{4\pi}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{P}}{\partial t^2}$$

But even terms disappear in media with inversion symmetry!

$$\vec{P}^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} : \vec{E}\vec{E}$$

Nonlinear polarization can drive new field:

$$\nabla^2 \vec{E} + \frac{n^2}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{4\pi}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{P}}{\partial t^2}$$

But even terms disappear in media with inversion symmetry!

$$\vec{P}^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} : \vec{E}\vec{E}$$

Invert all vectors:

$$-\vec{P}^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)}:(-\vec{E})(-\vec{E})$$

Nonlinear polarization can drive new field:

$$\nabla^2 \vec{E} + \frac{n^2}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{4\pi}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{P}}{\partial t^2}$$

But even terms disappear in media with inversion symmetry!

$$\vec{P}^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} : \vec{E}\vec{E}$$

Invert all vectors:

$$-\vec{P}^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)}:(-\vec{E})(-\vec{E})$$

and so $\chi^{(2)} = -\chi^{(2)} = 0$.

Consider oscillating electric field:

 $E(t) = E e^{i\omega t} + \text{c.c.}$

Consider oscillating electric field:

 $E(t) = E e^{i\omega t} + \text{c.c.}$

Second-order polarization:

$$P^{(2)}(t) = \chi^{(2)}E^2(t) = \frac{1}{2}\chi^{(2)}EE^* + \frac{1}{4}[\chi^{(2)}E^2e^{-2\omega t} + \text{c.c.}]$$

Consider oscillating electric field:

 $E(t) = E e^{i\omega t} + \text{c.c.}$

Second-order polarization:

$$P^{(2)}(t) = \chi^{(2)}E^2(t) = \frac{1}{2}\chi^{(2)}EE^* + \frac{1}{4}[\chi^{(2)}E^2e^{-2\omega t} + \text{c.c.}]$$

Consider oscillating electric field:

$$E(t) = E e^{i\omega t} + \text{c.c.}$$

Second-order polarization:

$$P^{(2)}(t) = \chi^{(2)}E^2(t) = \frac{1}{2}\chi^{(2)}EE^* + \frac{1}{4}[\chi^{(2)}E^2e^{-2\omega t} + \text{c.c.}]$$

Physical interpretation:



Can also cause frequency mixing!

Can also cause frequency mixing! Let

$$E(t) = E_1 e^{-i\omega_1 t} + E_2 e^{-i\omega_2 t}$$

Can also cause frequency mixing! Let

$$E(t) = E_1 e^{-i\omega_1 t} + E_2 e^{-i\omega_2 t}$$

Second-order polarization will contain terms with

 $2\omega_1$ (SHG), $2\omega_2$ (SHG), $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ (SFG), $\omega_1 - \omega_2$ (DFG)

Can also cause frequency mixing! Let

$$E(t) = E_1 e^{-i\omega_1 t} + E_2 e^{-i\omega_2 t}$$

Second-order polarization will contain terms with

$$2\omega_1$$
 (SHG), $2\omega_2$ (SHG), $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ (SFG), $\omega_1 - \omega_2$ (DFG)

Physical interpretation:



Linear response:
$$\vec{P} = \chi \vec{E}$$



Linear response:
$$\vec{P} = \chi \vec{E}$$



Nonlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E^2$$



Nonlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E^2$$



Nonlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E^2$$




Nonlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E^2$$





Nonlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E^2$$





Nonlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E^2$$





Nonlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E^2$$



Nonlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E^2$$



Nonlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E^2$$



Nonlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E^2$$



•

Nonlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E^2$$



Nonlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E^2$$



Nonlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E^2$$



Nonlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E^2$$



Nonlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E^2$$



Ionlinear response:
$$P^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} E$$

Question to you:

The atomic arrangement shown is that of silicon, which is cen-

trosymmetric. How can we reconcile this conceptual picture of

SHG with the fact that $\chi^{(2)} = 0$ for centrosymmetric materials?



Third-order polarization: $P^{(3)}(t) = \chi^{(3)}E^3(t)$

Third-order polarization: $P^{(3)}(t) = \chi^{(3)}E^3(t)$

3 frequencies, 3 terms + c.c.: complicated! In general

$$\cos^3\omega t = \frac{1}{4}\cos 3\omega t + \frac{3}{4}\cos \omega t$$

Third-order polarization: $P^{(3)}(t) = \chi^{(3)}E^3(t)$

3 frequencies, 3 terms + c.c.: complicated! In general

$$\cos^3\omega t = \frac{1}{4}\cos 3\omega t + \frac{3}{4}\cos \omega t$$

Intensity dependent term at fundamental frequency:

$$P^{(3)}(t) = \chi^{(3)} E(t) E^*(t) E(t) = \chi^{(3)} I(t) E(t)$$

Third-order polarization: $P^{(3)}(t) = \chi^{(3)}E^3(t)$

3 frequencies, 3 terms + c.c.: complicated! In general

$$\cos^3\omega t = \frac{1}{4}\cos 3\omega t + \frac{3}{4}\cos \omega t$$

Intensity dependent term at fundamental frequency:

$$P^{(3)}(t) = \chi^{(3)}E(t)E^*(t)E(t) = \chi^{(3)}I(t)E(t)$$

and so

b
$$P = P^{(1)} + P^{(3)} = (\chi^{(1)} + \chi^{(3)}I)E \equiv \chi_{eff}E$$

Third-order polarization: $P^{(3)}(t) = \chi^{(3)}E^3(t)$

3 frequencies, 3 terms + c.c.: complicated! In general

$$\cos^3\omega t = \frac{1}{4}\cos 3\omega t + \frac{3}{4}\cos \omega t$$

Intensity dependent term at fundamental frequency:

$$P^{(3)}(t) = \chi^{(3)}E(t)E^*(t)E(t) = \chi^{(3)}I(t)E(t)$$

and so

$$P = P^{(1)} + P^{(3)} = (\chi^{(1)} + \chi^{(3)}I)E \equiv \chi_{eff}E$$

$$n = \sqrt{\epsilon} = \sqrt{1 + \chi_{eff}} \approx \sqrt{1 + \chi^{(1)}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\chi^{(3)}I}{\sqrt{1 + \chi^{(1)}}} = n_o + n_2 I$$

$$n = n_o + n_2 I$$



$$n = n_o + n_2 I$$



$$n = n_o + n_2 I$$



$$n = n_o + n_2 I$$



$$n = n_o + n_2 I$$





$$n = n_o + n_2 I$$



$$n = n_o + n_2 I$$



self-focusing



but susceptibility is complex!

susceptibility	real part	imaginary part
linear	refraction	absorption
nonlinear	SHG, SFG, DFG, THG,	multiphoton absorption

$$\alpha = \alpha_o + \beta I + \gamma I^2 + \dots$$





high intensity at focus...



... causes nonlinear ionization...



and 'microexplosion' causes microscopic damage...





Some applications:

- data storage
- waveguides
- microfluidics


what is the threshold energy?





Dark-field scattering



block probe beam...



... bring in pump beam...



... damage scatters probe beam













vary numerical aperture





fit gives threshold intensity: $I_{th} = 2.5 \times 10^{17} \text{ W/m}^2$



vary material...



...threshold varies with band gap (but not much!)



...threshold varies with band gap (but not much!)



would expect much more than a factor of 2



multiphoton excitation seeds free electrons...



...which can then absorb lineary.



once excess energy large enough, impact ionization occurs



critical density reached by multiphoton for low gap only



avalanche ionization important at high gap



what prevents damage at low NA?

Competing nonlinear effects:

- multiphoton absorption
- supercontinuum generation
- self-focusing

why the difference?



very different confocal length/interaction length



high NA: interaction length too short for self-focusing

threshold for supercontinuum generation



threshold for damage



threshold decreases with increasing numerical aperture



less than 10 nJ at high numerical aperture!



amplified laser: 1 kHz, 1 mJ



heat diffusion time: $t_{diff} \approx 1 \ \mu s$

long cavity oscillator: 25 MHz, 25 nJ



heat diffusion time: $t_{diff} \approx 1 \ \mu s$



High repetition-rate micromachining:

- structural changes exceed focal volume
- spherical structures
- density change caused by melting




the longer the irradiation...



the longer the irradiation...



the longer the irradiation...



the longer the irradiation...



... the larger the radius



Points to keep in mind:

- threshold critically dependent on NA
- surprisingly little material dependence
- ablate in bulk with nJ pulses



Nature Photonics 2, 219 (2008)

tissue is nearly transparent at 800 nm



image dynamics; sample in focal plane



focus 800-nm pump pulse in focal plane



microexplosion launches expanding pressure wave



illuminate with 400-nm probe pulse



CCD records snapshot of dynamics



CCD records snapshot of dynamics



CCD records snapshot of dynamics



can see threefold symmetry of sapphire!

sapphire



3 µJ pulse 3.8 ns delay 40 µm radius

water isotropic

sapphire



3 μJ pulse 3.8 ns delay 40 μm radius

water



1 μJ pulse 35 ns delay 58 μm radius

vary pump-probe delay to observe dynamics



plasma remains at resolution limit for 5 ps



then expands supersonically



after 20 ps expansion slows and rings...



launching a pressure wave at the speed of sound



plasma forms an expanding cavitation bubble



which collapses after 5 µs



pressure wave and cavitation bubble



Damage caused by:

- ionization/ablation
- pressure wave
- cavitation bubble
- thermal effects
- photochemical effects



- subcellular surgery
- nanoneurosurgery
- optofection

Q: can we ablate material on the subcellular scale?

Requirements:

- submicrometer precision (in bulk)
- no damage to neighboring structures
- independent of structure/organelle type

Cytoskeleton

- gives a cell its shape
- provides a scaffold for organelles
- responsible cell motion and attachment
- facilitates intracellular transport and signaling
- required for cell division

two components

actin fibers



microtubules



two components







epi-fluorescence microscope



fluorescently label sample


UV illumination...



...causes fluorescence



irradiate with fs laser beam



examine resulting ablation









Question to you:

Can we conclude from the disappearance of fluorescence that

parts of the actin network have been ablated?

ablation or bleaching?



restain after exposure



restain after exposure

Question to you:

Why would restaining with the same dye be a bad idea?



nucleus of fixed endothelial cell



white light microscopy

nucleus of fixed endothelial cell



fluorescence microscopy

irradiate with fs laser



fluorescence microscopy

irradiate with fs laser



fluorescence microscopy

bleaching or ablation?



TEM image











three regions of interaction



Opt. Express 13, 3690 (2005)



Definitive proof of ablation

- ablation width as small as 100 nm
- ablation threshold varies slightly
- ablation threshold 20% above bleaching threshold

Definitive proof of ablation

- ablation width as small as 100 nm
- ablation threshold varies slightly
- ablation threshold 20% above bleaching threshold

Q: subcellular surgery on live cells?



2 nJ/pulse 1 s exposure















before



before





before

after



2 nJ


Med. Chem. Biosyst. 2, 17 (2005)









Q: can we probe the dynamics of the cytoskeleton?

YFP-labeled actin fiber network of a live cell



cut a single fiber bundle



cut a single fiber bundle



gap widens with time



gap widens with time

Question to you:

How could we find out if the widening of the gap is due to elas-

tic retraction or due to depolimerization of the cut ends of the

filament?

10 μm t = 10 s

retraction or depolymerization?



retraction or depolymerization?



retraction!



retraction!



dynamics provides information on in vivo mechanics





overdamped spring:
$$\Delta L = L_{\infty}(1 - e^{-t/\tau}) + L_{o}$$



overdamped spring:
$$\Delta L = L_{\infty}(1 - e^{-t/\tau}) + L_{o}$$



L_{o} and τ independent of fiber width!



tension in actin filaments is generated by myosin motors



Y27: inhibits some myosin activity



ML7: direct inhibitor of myosin activity



Q: what is interplay between ECM and actin network?

Connection between single stress fiber and extra-cellular matrix:

- stress fibers exert forces on ECM
- force balance between cell and ECM

on stiff substrate no observable changes fiber surroundings...



on stiff substrate no observable changes fiber surroundings...



...even when many fibers are cut



ECMs of living cells are compliant

(and cells exhibit physiologically more

relevant functions on flexible substrates)

visualize motion of flexible ECM after cutting



traction force microscopy



traction force microscopy



measure bead displacement














Stiff substrate:

- no change in cell shape
- no observable change in neighboring fibers

Compliant substrate:

- change in cell shape (displacements of about 1 µm)
- large scale structural rearrangement within cell
- force transfer to ECM of about 180 Pa



can oscillators be used for surgery?

3 s exposure, 1 nJ pulse energy

14 kHz



cutting

3 s exposure, 1 nJ pulse energy

14 kHz

76 MHz



excessive damage

cutting

3 s exposure, 0.5 nJ pulse energy

14 kHz





76 MHz

cutting

cutting

MHz threshold for actin cutting



kHz surgery:

- threshold determined by pulse energy
- no additional damage from prolonged exposure

kHz surgery:

- threshold determined by pulse energy
- no additional damage from prolonged exposure

MHz surgery:

threshold determined by pulse energy and exposure

kHz surgery:

- threshold determined by pulse energy
- no additional damage from prolonged exposure

MHz surgery:

threshold determined by pulse energy and exposure

both kHz and MHz suitable for nanosurgery!



femtosecond materials interactions

• subcellular surgery

nanoneurosurgery

optofection

Q: can we probe the neurological origins of behavior?



















Juergen Berger & Ralph Sommer Max-Planck Institute for Developmental Biology

- simple model organism
- similarities to higher organisms
- genome fully sequenced
- easy to handle

- 80 µm x 1 mm
- about 1000 cells
- 302 neurons
- invariant wiring diagram
- neuronal system completely encodes behavior




























Mapping behavior to neurons



Mapping behavior to neurons



- responsible for chemical sensing
- ciliary projections extend through skin
- one on each side









make ASH neurons express GFP



make ASH neurons express GFP





GFP: absorbs UV, emits green

























AUA neurons





need exquisite precision!

DiO-stained bundle of dendrites



cut single dendrite in bundle (3 nJ)



no damange to neighboring dendrites



revive worm, reimage 1 day later



Q: can the ASH neuron regenerate its dendrite?

osmolarity assay


escape rate after 'mock' surgery



escape rate of ASH-lacking mutant



escape rate after ASH-ablation surgery



AFD neurons (temperature sensors)







Q: where does the ASH sense temperature?

microdroplet assay



microdroplet assay



microdroplet assay



surgery results in quantifiable behavior changes





before

after



















thermotactic index: $\frac{N_{\text{warming}} - N_{\text{cooling}}}{N_{\text{warming}} + N_{\text{cooling}}}$



thermotactic index: $\frac{N_{\text{warming}} - N_{\text{cooling}}}{N_{\text{warming}} + N_{\text{cooling}}}$



thermotactic index: $\frac{N_{\text{warming}} - N_{\text{cooling}}}{N_{\text{warming}} + N_{\text{cooling}}}$



temperature sensing occurs at tip of dendrite





















Summary

- manipulate on subcellular, submicrometer scale
- penetrate in bulk without compromising viability
- study cell structure and mechanics
- study neurobiological basis of behavior

Conclusion

great tool for manipulating the machinery of life


Funding:

National Science Foundation

for a copy of this presentation:

http://mazur-www.harvard.edu