


Getting every student prepared for every class



@eric_mazur

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile
Santiago, Chile, 13 January 2016







What are the following...
A...
The...
The...
The...
The...

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10





how to effectively transfer information outside classroom?





but...




- **transfer pace set by video**
- **viewer passive**
- **viewing/attention tanks as time passes**
- **isolated/individual experience**






we're simply moving this outside classroom!



- 
- **transfer pace set by reader**
 - **viewer active**

but...





**isolated/individual experience &
no real accountability**



want:

every student prepared for every class



want:

every student prepared for every class

(without additional instructor effort)




Solution

**turn out-of-class component
also into a social interaction!**

Perusall

every student prepared for every class



76 CHAPTER 4 MOMENTUM

In the preceding two chapters, we developed a mathematical framework for describing motion along a straight line. In this chapter, we continue our study of motion by investigating inertia, a property of objects that affects their motion. The experiments we carry out in studying inertia lead us to discover one of the most fundamental laws in physics—conservation of momentum.

4.1 Friction

Picture a block of wood sitting motionless on a smooth wooden surface. If you give the block a shove, it slides some distance but eventually comes to rest. Depending on the smoothness of the block and the smoothness of the wooden surface, this stopping may happen sooner or it may happen later. If the two surfaces in contact are very smooth and slippery, the block slides for a longer time interval than if the surfaces are rough. Think of the difference in your everyday experience: A hockey puck slides easily on ice but not on a rough road.

Figure 4.1 shows how the velocity of a wooden block decreases on three different surfaces. The slowing down is due to *friction*—the resistance to motion that one surface or object encounters when moving over another. Notice that, during the interval covered by the velocity-versus-time graph, the velocity decreases as the block slides over ice; it hardly decreases as the block slides over the other two surfaces. The block slides easily over ice because there is very little friction between the two surfaces. The effect of friction is to bring two objects to rest with respect to each other—in this case the wooden block and the surface it is sliding on. The less friction there is, the longer it takes for the block to come to rest.

Figure 4.1 Velocity-versus-time graph for a wooden block sliding on three different surfaces. The rougher the surface, the more quickly the velocity decreases.



Figure 4.2 Low-friction track and carts used in the experiments described in this chapter.



You may wonder whether it is possible to make surfaces that have no friction at all, such that an object, once given a shove, continues to glide forever. There is no totally frictionless surface over which objects slide forever, but there are ways to minimize friction. You can, for instance, float an object on a cushion of air. This is most easily accomplished with a hovercraft, which is a small, flat-bottomed boat that floats on a thin layer of air blown through a hole in the bottom. The air serves as a cushion on which a conveniently shaped object can float, with friction between the object and the track all but eliminated. Alternatively, one can use wheeled carts with low-friction bearings on an ordinary track. Figure 4.2 shows low-friction carts you may have encountered in your lab or class. Although there is still some friction both for low-friction tracks and for the track shown in Figure 4.2, this friction is so small that it can be ignored during an experiment. For example, if the track in Figure 4.2 is horizontal, carts move along its length without slowing down appreciably. In other words:

In the absence of friction, objects moving along a horizontal track keep moving without slowing down.

Another advantage of using such carts is that the track constrains the motion to being along a straight line. We can then use a high-speed camera to record the cart's position at various instants, and from that information determine its speed and acceleration.



4.1 (a) Are the accelerations of the motions shown in Figure 4.1 constant? (b) For which surface is the acceleration largest in magnitude?

76 CHAPTER 4 MOMENTUM

In the preceding two chapters, we developed a mathematical framework for describing motion along a straight line. In this chapter, we continue our study of motion by investigating inertia, a property of objects that affects their motion. The experiments we carry out in studying inertia lead us to discover one of the most fundamental laws in physics—conservation of momentum.

4.1 Friction

Picture a block of wood sitting motionless on a smooth wooden surface. If you give the block a shove, it slides some distance but eventually comes to rest. Depending on the smoothness of the block and the smoothness of the wooden surface, this stopping may happen sooner or it may happen later. If the two surfaces in contact are very smooth and slippery, the block slides for a longer time interval than if the surfaces are rough, on sticks, or on a road. A day experiment: A hockey puck slides easily on ice but not on a rough road.

Figure 4.1 shows how the velocity of a wooden block decreases on three different surfaces. The slowing down is due to *friction*—the resistance to motion that one surface or object encounters when moving over another. Notice that, during the interval covered by the velocity-versus-time graph, the velocity decrease as the block slides over ice is hardly observable. The block slides easily over ice because there is very little friction between the two surfaces. The effect of friction is to bring two objects to rest with respect to each other—in this case the wooden block and the surface it is sliding on. The less friction there is, the longer it takes for the block to come to rest.

Figure 4.1 Velocity-versus-time graph for a wooden block sliding on three different surfaces. The rougher the surface, the more quickly the velocity decreases.



Figure 4.2 Low-friction track and carts used in the experiments described in this chapter.



You may wonder whether it is possible to make surfaces that have no friction at all, such that an object, once given a shove, continues to glide forever. There is no totally frictionless surface over which objects slide forever, but there are ways to minimize friction. You can, for instance, float an object on a cushion of air. This is most easily accomplished with an air hockey table, which has a track with holes through which air is blown, creating a cushion of air that serves as a cushion on which a conveniently shaped object can float, with friction between the object and the track all but eliminated. Alternatively, one can use wheeled carts with low-friction bearings on an ordinary track. Figure 4.2 shows low-friction carts you may have encountered in your lab or class. Although there is still some friction both for low-friction tracks and for the track shown in Figure 4.2, this friction is so small that it can be ignored during an experiment. For example, if the track in Figure 4.2 is horizontal, carts move along its length without slowing down appreciably. In other words:

In the absence of friction, objects moving along a horizontal track keep moving without slowing down.

Another advantage of using such carts is that the track constrains the motion to being along a straight line. We can then use a high-speed camera to record the cart's position at various instants, and from that information determine its speed and acceleration.



4.1 (a) Are the accelerations of the motions shown in Figure 4.1 constant? (b) For which surface is the acceleration largest in magnitude?

76 CHAPTER 4 MOMENTUM

In the preceding two chapters, we developed a mathematical framework for describing motion along a straight line. In this chapter, we continue our study of motion by investigating inertia, a property of objects that affects their motion. The experiments we carry out in studying inertia lead us to discover one of the most fundamental laws in physics—conservation of momentum.

4.1 Friction

Picture a block of wood sitting motionless on a smooth wooden surface. If you give the block a shove, it slides some distance but eventually comes to rest. Depending on the smoothness of the block and the smoothness of the wooden surface, this stopping may happen sooner or it may happen later. If the two surfaces in contact are very smooth and slippery, the block slides for a longer time interval than if the surfaces are rough or sticky. This you know from everyday experience: A hockey puck slides easily on ice but not on a rough road.

Figure 4.1 shows how the velocity of a wooden block decreases on three different surfaces. The slowing down is due to *friction*—the resistance to motion that one surface or object encounters when moving over another. Notice that, during the interval covered by the velocity-versus-time graph, the velocity decrease as the block slides over ice is hardly observable. The block slides easily over ice because there is very little friction between the two surfaces. The effect of friction is to bring two objects to rest with respect to each other—in this case the wooden block and the surface it is sliding on. The less friction there is, the longer it takes for the block to come to rest.

Figure 4.1 Velocity-versus-time graph for a wooden block sliding on three different surfaces. The rougher the surface, the more quickly the velocity decreases.



Figure 4.2 Low-friction track and carts used in the experiments described in this chapter.



You may wonder whether it is possible to make surfaces that have no friction at all, such that an object, once given a shove, continues to glide forever. There is no totally frictionless surface over which objects slide forever, but there are ways to minimize friction. You can, for instance, float an object on a cushion of air. This is most easily accomplished with a low-friction track—a track whose surface is dotted with little holes through which pressurized air blows. The air serves as a cushion on which a conveniently shaped object can float, with friction between the object and the track all but eliminated. Alternatively, one can use wheeled carts with low-friction bearings on an ordinary track. Figure 4.2 shows low-friction carts you may have encountered in your lab or class. Although there is still some friction both for low-friction tracks and for the track shown in Figure 4.2, this friction is so small that it can be ignored during an experiment. For example, if the track in Figure 4.2 is horizontal, carts move along its length without slowing down appreciably. In other words:

In the absence of friction, objects moving along a horizontal track keep moving without slowing down.

Another advantage of using such carts is that the track constrains the motion to being along a straight line. We can then use a high-speed camera to record the cart's position at various instants, and from that information determine its speed and acceleration.



4.1 (a) Are the accelerations of the motions shown in Figure 4.1 constant? (b) For which surface is the acceleration largest in magnitude?

76 CHAPTER 4 MOMENTUM

In the preceding two chapters, we developed a mathematical framework for describing motion along a straight line. In this chapter, we continue our study of motion by investigating inertia, a property of objects that affects their motion. The experiments we carry out in studying inertia lead us to discover one of the most fundamental laws in physics—conservation of momentum.

4.1 Friction

Picture a block of wood sitting motionless on a smooth wooden surface. If you give the block a shove, it slides some distance but eventually comes to rest. Depending on the smoothness of the block and the smoothness of the wood on which it is sliding, the block may come to rest more quickly or more slowly. If the surface is particularly slippery, the block slides for a longer time interval than if the surfaces are rough or sticky. This you know from everyday experience: A hockey puck slides easily on ice but not on a rough road.

Figure 4.1 shows how the velocity of a wooden block decreases on three different surfaces. The slowing down is due to *friction*—the resistance to motion that one surface or object encounters when moving over another. Notice that, during the interval covered by the velocity-versus-time graph, the velocity decreases as the block slides over ice; this decrease is hardly observable. The block slides easily over ice because there is very little friction between the two surfaces. The effect of friction is to bring two objects to rest with respect to each other—in this case the wooden block and the surface it is sliding on. The less friction there is, the longer it takes for the block to come to rest.

Figure 4.1 Velocity-versus-time graph for a wooden block sliding on three different surfaces. The rougher the surface, the more quickly the velocity decreases.



Figure 4.2 Low-friction track and carts used in the experiments described in this chapter.



You may wonder whether it is possible to make surfaces that have no friction at all, such that an object, once given a shove, continues to glide forever. There is no totally frictionless surface over which objects slide forever, but there are ways to minimize friction. You can, for instance, float an object on a cushion of air. This is most easily accomplished with a low-friction track—a track whose surface is dotted with little holes through which pressurized air blows. The air serves as a cushion on which a conveniently shaped object can float, with friction between the object and the track all but eliminated. Alternatively, one can use wheeled carts with low-friction bearings on an ordinary track. Figure 4.2 shows low-friction carts you may have encountered in your lab or class. Although there is still some friction both for low-friction tracks and for the track shown in Figure 4.2, this friction is so small that it can be ignored during an experiment. For example, if the track in Figure 4.2 is horizontal, carts move along its length without slowing down appreciably. In other words:

In the absence of friction, objects moving along a horizontal track keep moving without slowing down.

Another advantage of using such carts is that the track constrains the motion to being along a straight line. We can then use a high-speed camera to record the cart's position at various instants, and from that information determine its speed and acceleration.



4.1 (a) Are the accelerations of the motions shown in Figure 4.1 constant? (b) For which surface is the acceleration largest in magnitude?

76 CHAPTER 4 MOMENTUM

In the preceding two chapters, we developed a mathematical framework for describing motion along a straight line. In this chapter, we continue our study of motion by investigating inertia, a property of objects that affects their motion. The experiments we carry out in studying inertia lead us to discover one of the most fundamental laws in physics—conservation of momentum.

4.1 Friction

Picture a block of wood sitting motionless on a smooth wooden surface. If you give the block a shove, it slides some distance but eventually comes to rest. Depending on the smoothness of the block and the smoothness of the wooden surface, this stopping may happen sooner or it may happen later. If the two surfaces in contact are very smooth and slippery, the block slides for a longer time interval than if the surfaces are rough or sticky. This you know from everyday experience: A hockey puck slides easily on ice but not on a rough road.

Figure 4.1 shows how the velocity of a wooden block decreases on three different surfaces. The slowing down is due to *friction*—the force that opposes the motion of an object encountered during the interaction. In the velocity-versus-time graph, the velocity decreases as the block slides over ice to a stop. The block slides easily over ice because there is very little friction between the two surfaces. The effect of friction is to bring two objects to rest with respect to each other—in this case the wooden block and the surface it is sliding on. The less friction there is, the longer it takes for the block to come to rest.

Figure 4.1 Velocity-versus-time graph for a wooden block sliding on three different surfaces. The rougher the surface, the more quickly the velocity decreases.



Figure 4.2 Low-friction track and carts used in the experiments described in this chapter.



You may wonder whether it is possible to make surfaces that have no friction at all, such that an object, once given a shove, continues to glide forever. There is no totally frictionless surface over which objects slide forever, but there are ways to minimize friction. You can, for instance, float an object on a cushion of air. This is most easily accomplished with a low-friction track—a track whose surface is dotted with little holes through which pressurized air blows. The air serves as a cushion on which a conveniently shaped object can float, with friction between the object and the track all but eliminated. Alternatively, one can use wheeled carts with low-friction bearings on an ordinary track. Figure 4.2 shows a low-friction track and carts. In your experiment, you will use a low-friction track for the experiment. In Figure 4.2, the track is horizontal, carts move along its length without slowing down appreciably. In other words:

In the absence of friction, objects moving along a horizontal track keep moving without slowing down.

Another advantage of using such carts is that the track constrains the motion to being along a straight line. We can then use a high-speed camera to record the cart's position at various instants, and from that information determine its speed and acceleration.



4.1 (a) Are the accelerations of the motions shown in Figure 4.1 constant? (b) For which surface is the acceleration largest in magnitude?

highlighting text...

76 CHAPTER 4 MOMENTUM

In the preceding two chapters, we developed a mathematical framework for describing motion along a straight line. In this chapter, we continue our study of motion by investigating inertia, a property of objects that affects their motion. The experiments we carry out in studying inertia lead us to discover one of the most fundamental laws in physics—conservation of momentum.

4.1 Friction

Picture a block of wood sitting motionless on a smooth wooden surface. If you give the block a shove, it slides some distance but eventually comes to rest. Depending on the smoothness of the block and the smoothness of the wooden surface, this stopping may happen sooner or it may happen later. If the two surfaces in contact are very smooth and slippery, the block slides for a longer time interval than if the surfaces are rough or sticky. This you know from everyday experience: A hockey puck slides easily on ice but not on a rough road.

Figure 4.1 shows how the velocity of a wooden block decreases on three different surfaces. The rougher the surface, the more quickly the velocity decreases due to *friction*—the resistance to motion that one surface or object encounters when moving over another. Notice that, during the interval covered by the velocity-versus-time graph, the velocity decrease as the block slides on ice is hardly observable. The block slides easily over ice because there is very little friction between the two surfaces. The effect of friction is to bring two objects to rest with respect to each other—in this case the wooden block and the surface it is sliding on. The less friction there is, the longer it takes for the block to come to rest.

Figure 4.1 Velocity-versus-time graph for a wooden block sliding on three different surfaces. The rougher the surface, the more quickly the velocity decreases.



Figure 4.2 Low-friction track and carts used in the experiments described in this chapter.



You may wonder whether it is possible to make surfaces that have no friction at all, such that an object, once given a shove, continues to glide forever. There is no totally frictionless surface over which objects slide forever, but there are ways to minimize friction. You can, for instance, float an object on a cushion of air. This is most easily accomplished with a low-friction track—a track whose surface is dotted with little holes through which pressurized air blows. The air serves as a cushion on which a conveniently shaped object can float, with friction between the object and the track all but minimized. The wheels on the labeled carts in Figure 4.2 also minimize friction. Figure 4.2 shows low-friction carts you may have encountered in your lab or class. Although there is still some friction both for low-friction tracks and for the track shown in Figure 4.2, this friction is so small that it can be ignored during an experiment. For example, if the track in Figure 4.2 is horizontal, carts move along its length without slowing down appreciably. In other words:


In the absence of friction, objects moving along a horizontal track keep moving without slowing down.

Another advantage of using such carts is that the track constrains the motion to being along a straight line. We can then use a high-speed camera to record the cart's position at various instants, and from that information determine its speed and acceleration.



4.1 (a) Are the accelerations of the motions shown in Figure 4.1 constant? (b) For which surface is the acceleration largest in magnitude?

...opens chat window



Enter your comment or question and press Enter

76 CHAPTER 4 MOMENTUM

In the preceding two chapters, we developed a mathematical framework for describing motion along a straight line. In this chapter, we continue our study of motion by investigating inertia, a property of objects that affects their motion. The experiments we carry out in studying inertia lead us to discover one of the most fundamental laws in physics—conservation of momentum.

4.1 Friction

Picture a block of wood sitting motionless on a smooth wooden surface. If you give the block a shove, it slides some distance but eventually comes to rest. Depending on the smoothness of the block and the smoothness of the wooden surface, this stopping may happen sooner or it may happen later. If the two surfaces in contact are very smooth and slippery, the block slides for a longer time interval than if the surfaces are rough or sticky. This you know from everyday experience: A hockey puck slides easily on ice but not on a rough road.

Figure 4.1 shows how the velocity of a wooden block decreases on three different surfaces. The slowing down is due to *friction*—the resistance to motion that one surface or object encounters when moving over another. Notice that, during the interval covered by the velocity-versus-time graph, the velocity decreases as the block slides over ice; it is hardly observable. The block slides easily over ice because there is very little friction between the two surfaces. The effect of friction is to bring two objects to rest with respect to each other—in this case the wooden block and the surface it is sliding on. The less friction there is, the longer it takes for the block to come to rest.

Figure 4.1 Velocity-versus-time graph for a wooden block sliding on three different surfaces. The rougher the surface, the more quickly the velocity decreases.



Figure 4.2 Low-friction track and carts used in the experiments described in this chapter.



You may wonder whether it is possible to make surfaces that have no friction at all, such that an object, once given a shove, continues to glide forever. There is no totally frictionless surface over which objects slide forever, but there are ways to minimize friction. You can, for instance, float an object on a cushion of air. This is most easily accomplished with a low-friction track—a track whose surface is dotted with little holes through which pressurized air blows. The air serves as a cushion on which a conveniently shaped object can float, with friction between the object and the track all but eliminated. Alternatively, one can use wheeled carts with low-friction bearings on an ordinary track. Figure 4.2 shows low-friction carts you may have encountered in your lab or class. Although there is still some friction both for low-friction tracks and for the track shown in Figure 4.2, this friction is so small that it can be ignored during an experiment. For example, if the track in Figure 4.2 is horizontal, carts move along its length without slowing down appreciably. In other words:

In the absence of friction, objects moving along a horizontal track keep moving without slowing down.

Another advantage of using such carts is that the track constrains the motion to being along a straight line. We can then use a high-speed camera to record the cart's position at various instants, and from that information determine its speed and acceleration.



4.1 (a) Are the accelerations of the motions shown in Figure 4.1 constant? (b) For which surface is the acceleration largest in magnitude?

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

76 CHAPTER 4 MOMENTUM

In the preceding two chapters, we developed a mathematical framework for describing motion along a straight line. In this chapter, we continue our study of motion by investigating inertia, a property of objects that affects their motion. The experiments we carry out in studying inertia lead us to discover one of the most fundamental laws in physics—conservation of momentum.

4.1 Friction

Picture a block of wood sitting motionless on a smooth wooden surface. If you give the block a shove, it slides some distance but eventually comes to rest. Depending on the smoothness of the block and the smoothness of the wooden surface, this stopping may happen sooner or it may happen later. If the two surfaces in contact are very smooth and slippery, the block slides for a longer time interval than if the surfaces are rough or sticky. This you know from everyday experience: A hockey puck slides easily on ice but not on a rough road.

Figure 4.1 shows how the velocity of a wooden block decreases on three different surfaces. The slowing down is due to *friction*—the resistance to motion that one surface or object encounters when moving over another. Notice that, during the interval covered by the velocity-versus-time graph, the velocity decreases as the block slides over ice; this is hardly observable. The block slides easily over ice because there is very little friction between the two surfaces. The effect of friction is to bring two objects to rest with respect to each other—in this case the wooden block and the surface it is sliding on. The less friction there is, the longer it takes for the block to come to rest.

Figure 4.1 Velocity-versus-time graph for a wooden block sliding on three different surfaces. The rougher the surface, the more quickly the velocity decreases.



Figure 4.2 Low-friction track and carts used in the experiments described in this chapter.



You may wonder whether it is possible to make surfaces that have no friction at all, such that an object, once given a shove, continues to glide forever. There is no totally frictionless surface over which objects slide forever, but there are ways to minimize friction. You can, for instance, float an object on a cushion of air. This is most easily accomplished with a low-friction track—a track whose surface is dotted with little holes through which pressurized air blows. The air serves as a cushion on which a conveniently shaped object can float, with friction between the object and the track all but eliminated. Alternatively, one can use wheeled carts with low-friction bearings on an ordinary track. Figure 4.2 shows low-friction carts you may have encountered in your lab or class. Although there is still some friction both for low-friction tracks and for the track shown in Figure 4.2, this friction is so small that it can be ignored during an experiment. For example, if the track in Figure 4.2 is horizontal, carts move along its length without slowing down appreciably. In other words:

In the absence of friction, objects moving along a horizontal track keep moving without slowing down.

Another advantage of using such carts is that the track constrains the motion to being along a straight line. We can then use a high-speed camera to record the cart's position at various instants, and from that information determine its speed and acceleration.



4.1 (a) Are the accelerations of the motions shown in Figure 4.1 constant? (b) For which surface is the acceleration largest in magnitude?

No friction at all seems impossible. Isn't there always some friction in any real case.

76 CHAPTER 4 MOMENTUM

In the preceding two chapters, we developed a mathematical framework for describing motion along a straight line. In this chapter, we continue our study of motion by investigating inertia, a property of objects that affects their motion. The experiments we carry out in studying inertia lead us to discover one of the most fundamental laws in physics—conservation of momentum.

4.1 Friction

Picture a block of wood sitting motionless on a smooth wooden surface. If you give the block a shove, it slides some distance but eventually comes to rest. Depending on the smoothness of the block and the smoothness of the wooden surface, this stopping may happen sooner or it may happen later. If the two surfaces in contact are very smooth and slippery, the block slides for a longer time interval than if the surfaces are rough or sticky. This you know from everyday experience: A hockey puck slides easily on ice but not on a rough road.

Figure 4.1 shows how the velocity of a wooden block decreases on three different surfaces. The slowing down is due to *friction*—the resistance to motion that one surface or object encounters when moving over another. Notice that, during the interval covered by the velocity-versus-time graph, the velocity decrease as the block slides over ice is hardly observable. The block slides easily over ice because there is very little friction between the two surfaces. The effect of friction is to bring two objects to rest with respect to each other—in this case the wooden block and the surface it is sliding on. The less friction there is, the longer it takes for the block to come to rest.

Figure 4.2 Low-friction track and carts used in the experiments described in this chapter.



You may wonder whether it is possible to make surfaces that have no friction at all, such that an object, once given a shove, continues to glide forever. There is no totally frictionless surface over which objects slide forever, but there are ways to minimize friction. You can, for instance, float an object on a cushion of air. This is most easily accomplished with a low-friction track—a track whose surface is dotted with little holes through which pressurized air blows. The air serves as a cushion on which a conveniently shaped object can float, with friction between the object and the track all but eliminated. Alternatively, one can use wheeled carts with low-friction bearings on an ordinary track. Figure 4.2 shows low-friction carts you may have encountered in your lab or class. Although there is still some friction both for low-friction tracks and for the track shown in Figure 4.2, this friction is so small that it can be ignored during an experiment. For example, if the track in Figure 4.2 is horizontal, carts move along its length without slowing down appreciably. In other words:

In the absence of friction, objects moving along a horizontal track keep moving without slowing down.

Another advantage of using such carts is that the track constrains the motion to being along a straight line. We can then use a high-speed camera to record the cart's position at various instants, and from that information determine its speed and acceleration.



4.1 (a) Are the accelerations of the motions shown in Figure 4.1 constant? **(b)** For which surface is the acceleration largest in magnitude?

? No friction at all seems impossible. Isn't there always some friction in any real case.


Nov 1 4:41 pm



Enter your comment or question and press Enter



(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .



lever arm:
perpendicular distance
from line of action of force to rotation axis (pivot)

action of the force and the axis of rotation. So, the torque caused by a force exerted on an object is the product of the magnitude of the force and its lever arm distance. It can be written equivalently as rF_{\perp} and as $r_{\perp}F$.

Like other rotational quantities, torque carries a sign that depends on the choice of direction for increasing ϑ . In Figure 12.4, for example, the torque caused by \vec{F}_1 about the pivot tends to rotate the rod in the direction of increasing ϑ and so is positive; the torque caused by \vec{F}_2 is negative. The sum of the two torques about the pivot is then $r_1F_1 + (-r_2F_2)$. As we've seen, the two torques are equal in magnitude when the rod is balanced, and so the sum of the torques is zero. When the sum of the torques is not zero, the rod's rotational acceleration is nonzero, and so its rotational velocity and angular momentum change.

In the situations depicted in Figures 12.4 and 12.5 we used the pivot to calculate the lever arm distances. This is a natural choice because that is the point about which the object under consideration is free to rotate. However, torques also play a role for stationary objects that are suspended or supported at several different points and that are not free to rotate—for example, a plank or bridge supported at either end. To determine what reference point to use in such cases, complete the following exercise.

Exercise 12.1 Reference point

Consider again the rod in Figure 12.4. Calculate the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod.

SOLUTION I begin by making a sketch of the rod and the three forces exerted on it, showing their points of application on the rod (Figure 12.6).

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr}^c exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr}^c is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. You can repeat the calculation for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.


For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.



12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_3 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_2 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?



action of the force and the axis of rotation. So, the torque caused by a force exerted on an object is the product of the magnitude of the force and its lever arm distance. It can be

reference point
 \vec{F}_1
 The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr}^c exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr}^c is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. You can repeat the calculation for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever


Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_3 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_2 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?


? I don't understand how this combination of factors tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque. Oct 20 12:09 am


? I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction. Oct 20 12:38 am

? This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force. Oct 22 8:48 pm

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

 I don't understand how this combination of factors tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque. Oct 20 12:09 am

 I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction. Oct 20 12:38 am


 This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector from previous chapters, and in regards to " r " it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force. Oct 22 8:48 pm





don't understand how this combination of factors tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some information about the direction of the force to determine the torque.

I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction.

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector from previous chapters, and in regards to " r " it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force.

 I don't understand how this combination of factors tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque. Oct 20 12:09 am

 I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction. Oct 20 12:38 am

 This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector from previous chapters, and in regards to " r " it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force. Oct 22 8:48 pm

Enter your comment or question and press Enter


I don't understand how this combination of factors tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque.


I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction.

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to " r " it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force.

"helps me" flag




 I don't understand how this combination of factors tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque. Oct 20 12:09 am

 I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction. Oct 20 12:38 am

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector from previous chapters, and in regards to " r " it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force. Oct 22 8:48 pm

+1 

(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .



lever arm:
perpendicular distance
from line of action of force to rotation axis (pivot)

action of the force and the axis of rotation. So, the torque caused by a force exerted on an object is the product of the magnitude of the force and its lever arm distance. It can be

reference point

\vec{F}_1

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr}^c exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr}^c is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. You can repeat the calculation for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_3 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_2 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

? I don't understand how this combination of factors tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque. Oct 20 12:09 am


? I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction. Oct 20 12:38 am

? This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force. Oct 22 8:48 pm

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

quickly navigate all comments

(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .



lever arm:
perpendicular distance
from line of action of force to rotation axis (pivot)

action of the force and the axis of rotation. So, the torque caused by a force exerted on an object is the product of the magnitude of the force and its lever arm distance. It can be

The lever arm distances must be determined about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_1 about the left end of the rod is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr} exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr} is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. You can repeat the calculation for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.



12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever


Three forces are exerted on the lever of **Figure 12.7**. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

? I don't understand how this combination of factors tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque. Oct 20 12:09 am

? I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction. Oct 20 12:38 am

? This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force. Oct 22 8:48 pm

Enter your comment or question and press Enter



action of the force and the axis of rotation. So, the torque caused by a force exerted on an object is the product of the magnitude of the force and its lever arm distance. It can be

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr} exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr} is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. You can repeat the calculation for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_3 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_2 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

- On the very left, we see th...
- It's interesting that the white ...
- Is the referrence frame i... 2
- How does force affect ... 2
- I was curious about this, t... 3
- I understand partially w... 3
- In this class, we always emp...
- The part before this wa... 2
- The extended free-body d... 4
- This just means the net... 3
- I don't understand why ... 3
- It is important to note that... 2
- This reminds me of when we ...
- Torque is the ability of a forc...
- The type of diagram to use d...
- It sounds like it is sayin... 3
- So then do we have a p... 5
- Since torque is the cross pro...
- The right-hand rule can al... 3
- I don't understand how ... 3
- Orientation-based descriptio...
- I don't really understand... 2
- How small is small? As ... 3
- I think it would be slightly ...
- While I believe I underst... 3
- (a) The change in rotationa...
- As we saw earlier in the chap...
- Objects executing motion ar...
- Generally, for rotating bod... 2
- Does torque have the s... 3

I don't understand how this combination of factors tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque. Oct 20 12:09 am

I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction. Oct 20 12:38 am

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force. Oct 22 8:48 pm

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

email notifications

Brian Lukoff responded to a question in Mazur Chapter 4 Sample that you wanted to know the answer to

21 minutes ago, you asked this question on Perusall:

No friction at all seems impossible. Isn't there always some friction in any real case?

Brian Lukoff just responded to the question by saying:

Right - I think there will always be some friction due to the second law of thermodynamics.

If this helps your understanding, click the button below. If you want to respond, simply reply to this email to post to Perusall.

[View conversation](#)

[This comment helps my understanding](#)

I don't understand how the lever arm distance is determined. I know some sort of

I think you mean the direction separation distance, you can use the parameters of the system to explain how to choose

This is a great question. You can think of this in terms of torque is $\tau = r \times F$, where r is the distance from the pivot to the point where the force is applied. We know that in regards to "r" it means is that this is the distance to the point where the force is applied in the general convention of direction which has to do with the axis and to the force

Enter your comment

(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .

\vec{F}

\vec{r}_{\perp}

reference point

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to

On the very left, we see th...

It's interesting that the white ...

force frame i... 2

force affect ... 2

about this, t... 3

partially w... 3

always emp... 2

ore this wa... 2

free-body d... 4

ans the net... 3

stand why ... 3

to note that... 2

ne of when we ... 2

ability of a forc... 2

gram to use d... 2

it is sayin... 3

we have a p... 5

the cross pro... 2

rule can al... 3

stand how ... 3

used descriptio... 2

understan... 2

small? As ... 3

ould be slightly ... 2

ve I underst... 3

ge in rotationa... 2

ier in the chap... 2

ing motion ar... 2

the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

Generally, for rotating bod... 2

Does torque have the s... 3

email notifications

Brian Lukoff responded to a question in Mazur Chapter 4 Sample that you wanted to know the answer to

21 minutes ago, you asked this question on Perusall:

No friction at all seems impossible. Isn't there always some friction in any real case?

Brian Lukoff just responded to the question by saying:

Right - I think there will always be some friction due to the second law of thermodynamics.

option 1: reply

If this helps your understanding, click the button below. If you want to respond, simply reply to this email to post to Perusall.

View conversation

This comment helps my understanding

I don't understand how the lever arm distance is determined. I know some sort of

I think you mean the perpendicular distance, you can use the perpendicular distance to explain how to calculate

This is a great question. You can think of this in terms of torque is $\tau = r \times F$, force. We know that in regards to "r" it means is that this is the distance to the point where the force is applied in the general convention direction which has a direction and to the force

Enter your comment

On the very left, we see th...

It's interesting that the white ...

force frame i... 2

force affect ... 2

about this, t... 3

partially w... 3

always emp... 3

ore this wa... 2

free-body d... 4

ans the net... 3

stand why ... 3

to note that... 2

ne of when we ... 3

ability of a forc... 3

gram to use d... 3

it is sayin... 3

we have a p... 5

the cross pro... 3

rule can al... 3

stand how ... 3

used descriptio... 3

understan... 2

small? As ... 3

ould be slightly ... 3

ve I underst... 3

ge in rotation... 3

ier in the chap... 3

ing motion ar... 3

Generally, for rotating bod... 2

Does torque have the s... 3

email notifications

Brian Lukoff responded to a question in Mazur Chapter 4 Sample that you wanted to know the answer to

21 minutes ago, you asked this question on Perusall:

No friction at all seems impossible. Isn't there always some friction in any real case?

Brian Lukoff just responded to the question by saying:

Right - I think there will always be some friction due to the second law of thermodynamics.

If this helps your understanding, click the button below. If you want to respond,

option 2: view chat

View conversation

This comment helps my understanding

I don't understand how the lever arm distance is determined. Can you know some sort of

I think you mean the direction separation distance, you can use the parameters of the system to explain how to choose

This is a great question. You can think of this in terms of torque is $\tau = r \times F$, force. We know that in regards to "r" it means is that this is the distance to the point where the force is applied in the general convention direction which has a direction and to the force

Enter your comment

On the very left, we see th...

It's interesting that the white ...

force frame i... 2

force affect ... 2

about this, t... 3

partially w... 3

always emp... 3

ore this wa... 2

free-body d... 4

ans the net... 3

stand why ... 3

to note that... 2

ne of when we ... 3

ability of a forc... 2

gram to use d... 3

it is sayin... 3

we have a p... 5

the cross pro... 3

rule can al... 3

stand how ... 3

used descriptio... 3

understan... 2

small? As ... 3

ould be slightly ... 3

ve I underst... 3

ge in rotationa... 3

er in the chap... 3

ing motion ar... 3

Generally, for rotating bod... 2

Does torque have the s... 3

email notifications

Brian Lukoff responded to a question in Mazur Chapter 4 Sample that you wanted to know the answer to

21 minutes ago, you asked this question on Perusall:

No friction at all seems impossible. Isn't there always some friction in any real case?

Brian Lukoff just responded to the question by saying:


Right - I think there will always be some friction due to the second law of thermodynamics.

If this helps your understanding, click the button below. If you want to respond, simply reply to this comment.

View conversation

This comment helps my understanding

option 3: mark as answered



action of the force and the axis of rotation. So, the torque caused by a force exerted on an object is the product of the magnitude of the force and its lever arm distance. It can be

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr}^c exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr}^c is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. You can repeat the calculation for the right end of the rod. The result is the same: the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

how to get students to participate?


I don't understand how this combination of factors tells you the direction of the lever arm distance. I see you want to see know some sort of direction to calculate torque.

I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction.

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force.

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

- On the very left, we see th...
- It's interesting that the white ...
- Is the refernece frame i... 2
- How does force affect ... 2
- I was curious about this, t... 3
- I understand partially w... 3
- In this class, we always emp...
- The part before this wa... 2
- The extended free-body d... 4
- This just means the net... 3
- I don't understand why ... 3
- It is important to note that... 2
- The period of time of when we ...
- torque is the ability of a forc...
- The type of diagram to use d...
- It sounds like it is sayin... 3
- So then do we have a p... 5
- Since torque is the cross pro...
- The right-hand rule can al... 3
- I don't understand how ... 3
- Orientation-based descriptio...
- I don't really understand... 2
- How small is small? As ... 3
- I think it would be slightly ...
- While I believe I underst... 3
- (a) The change in rotationa...
- As we saw earlier in the chap...
- Objects executing motion ar...
- Generally, for rotating bod... 2
- Does torque have the s... 3



action of the force and the axis of rotation. So, the torque caused by a force exerted on an object is the product of the magnitude of the force and the lever arm distance.

reference point

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr} exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr} is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

use combination of

intrinsic and extrinsic motivation drivers

I don't understand how this combination of factors tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque.

I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction.

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force.

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

- On the very left, we see th...
- It's interesting that the white ...
- Is the referrence frame i...
- How does force affect ...
- I was curious about this, t...
- I understand partially w...
- In this class, we always emp...
- The part before this wa...
- The extended free-body d...
- This just means the net...
- I don't understand why ...
- It is important to note that...
- This reminds me of when we ...
- Torque is the ability of a forc...
- it sounds like it is sayin...
- So then do we have a p...
- Since torque is the cross pro...
- The right-hand rule can al...
- I don't understand how ...
- Orientation-based descriptio...
- I don't really understand...
- How small is small? As ...
- I think it would be slightly ...
- While I believe I underst...
- (a) The change in rotationa...
- As we saw earlier in the chap...
- Objects executing motion ar...
- Generally, for rotating bod...
- Does torque have the s...

rubric-based assessment

(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .



lever arm:
perpendicular distance
from line of action of force to rotation axis (pivot)

- quality (thoughtful reading & interpretation)

action of the force and the axis of rotation. So, the torque caused by a force exerted on an object is the product of the magnitude of the force and its lever arm distance. It can be

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr} exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr} is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the sign of the torques, we find $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. You can repeat the calculation for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

I don't understand how this combination of factors tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque. Oct 20 12:09 am

I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction. Oct 20 12:38 am

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force. Oct 22 8:48 pm

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

On the very left, we see th...

It's interesting that the white ...

Is the refernece frame i... 2

How does force affect ... 2

I was curious about this, t... 3

I understand partially w... 3

In this class, we always emp...

before this wa... 2

The extended free-body d... 4

This just means the net... 3

I don't understand why ... 3

It is important to note that... 2

This reminds me of when we ...

Torque is the ability of a forc...

The type of diagram to use d...

It sounds like it is sayin... 3

So then do we have a p... 5

Since torque is the cross pro...

The right-hand rule can al... 3

I don't understand how ... 3

Orientation-based descriptio...

I don't really understand... 2

How small is small? As ... 3

I think it would be slightly ...

While I believe I underst... 3

(a) The change in rotationa...

As we saw earlier in the chap...

Objects executing motion ar...

Generally, for rotating bod... 2

Does torque have the s... 3

rubric-based assessment

(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .



lever arm:
perpendicular distance
from line of action of force to rotation axis (pivot)

- quality (thoughtful reading & interpretation)

- quantity (minimum 10)

I don't understand how... factors tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque.

I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction.

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force.

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr} exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr} is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the sign of the torques, we find $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. You can repeat the calculation for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?


Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

- On the very left, we see th...
- It's interesting that the white ...
- Is the refernece frame i... 2
- How does force affect ... 2
- I was curious about this, t... 3
- I understand partially w... 3
- In this class, we always emp...
- before this wa... 2
- The extended free-body d... 4
- This just means the net... 3
- I don't understand why ... 3
- It is important to note that... 2
- This reminds me of when we ...
- Torque is the ability of a forc...
- The type of diagram to use d...
- It sounds like it is sayin... 3
- So then do we have a p... 5
- Since torque is the cross pro...
- The right-hand rule can al... 3
- I don't understand how ... 3
- Orientation-based descriptio...
- I don't really understand... 2
- How small is small? As ... 3
- I think it would be slightly ...
- While I believe I underst... 3
- (a) The change in rotationa...
- As we saw earlier in the chap...
- Objects executing motion ar...
- Generally, for rotating bod... 2
- Does torque have the s... 3

rubric-based assessment

(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .



lever arm:
perpendicular distance
from line of action of force to rotation axis (pivot)

- quality (thoughtful reading & interpretation)

- quantity (minimum 10)

- timeliness (before class)

I don't understand how direction tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque.

I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So if you have a distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction.

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force.

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr} exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr} is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the sign of the torques, we find $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. You can repeat the calculation for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For any stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?


Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

- On the very left, we see th...
- It's interesting that the white ...
- Is the referrence frame i... 2
- How does force affect ... 2
- I was curious about this, t... 3
- I understand partially w... 3
- In this class, we always emp...
- before this wa... 2
- The extended free-body d... 4
- This just means the net... 3
- I don't understand why ... 3
- It is important to note that... 2
- This reminds me of when we ...
- Torque is the ability of a forc...
- The type of diagram to use d...
- It sounds like it is sayin... 3
- So then do we have a p... 5
- Since torque is the cross pro...
- The right-hand rule can al... 3
- I don't understand how ... 3
- Orientation-based descriptio...
- I don't really understand... 2
- How small is small? As ... 3
- I think it would be slightly ...
- While I believe I underst... 3
- (a) The change in rotationa...
- As we saw earlier in the chap...
- Objects executing motion ar...
- Generally, for rotating bod... 2
- Does torque have the s... 3

rubric-based assessment

(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .



lever arm:
perpendicular distance
from line of action of force to rotation axis (pivot)

- quality (thoughtful reading & interpretation)

- quantity (minimum 10)

- timeliness (before class)

- distribution (not clustered)

I don't understand how... factors tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque.

I think you may be able... think about the... direction separately. So... distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction.

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this... can think of this in terms of... torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force.

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr} exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr} is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. You can repeat the calculation for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point where a force is applied, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

- On the very left, we see th...
- It's interesting that the white ...
- Is the refernece frame i... 2
- How does force affect ... 2
- I was curious about this, t... 3
- I understand partially w... 3
- In this class, we always emp...
- before this wa... 2
- The extended free-body d... 4
- This just means the net... 3
- I don't understand why ... 3
- It is important to note that... 2
- This reminds me of when we ...
- Torque is the ability of a forc...
- The type of diagram to use d...
- It sounds like it is sayin... 3
- So then do we have a p... 5
- Since torque is the cross pro...
- The right-hand rule can al... 3
- I don't understand how ... 3
- Orientation-based descriptio...
- I don't really understand... 2
- How small is small? As ... 3
- I think it would be slightly ...
- While I believe I underst... 3
- (a) The change in rotationa...
- As we saw earlier in the chap...
- Objects executing motion ar...
- Generally, for rotating bod... 2
- Does torque have the s... 3

rubric-based assessment

76 CHAPTER 4. MOMENTUM

In the preceding two chapters, we developed a mathematical framework for describing motion along a straight line. In this chapter, we continue our study of motion by investigating inertia, a property of objects that affects their motion. The experiments we carry out in studying inertia lead us to discover one of the most fundamental laws in physics—conservation of momentum.

4.1 Friction

Picture a block of wood sitting motionless on a smooth wooden surface. If you give the block a shove, it slides some distance but eventually comes to rest. Depending on the smoothness of the block and the smoothness of the wooden surface, this stopping may happen sooner or it may happen later. If the two surfaces in contact are very smooth and slippery, the block slides for a longer time interval than if the surfaces are rough or sticky. This you know from everyday experience: A hockey puck slides easily on ice but not on a rough road.

Figure 4.1 shows how the velocity of a wooden block decreases on three different surfaces. The slowing down is due to friction—the resistance to motion that one surface or object encounters when moving over another. Notice that, during the interval covered by the velocity-versus-time graph, the velocity decrease as the block slides over ice is hardly observable. The block slides easily over ice because there is very little friction between the two surfaces. The effect of friction is to bring two objects to rest with respect to each other—in this case the wooden block and the surface it is sliding on. The less friction there is, the longer it takes for the block to come to rest.

Figure 4.1 Velocity-versus-time graph for a wooden block sliding on three different surfaces. The rougher the surface, the more quickly the velocity decreases.





Figure 4.2 Low-friction track and carts used in the experiments described in this chapter.



You may wonder whether it is possible to make surfaces that have no friction at all, such that an object, once given a shove, continues to glide forever. There is no totally frictionless surface over which objects slide forever, but there are ways to minimize friction. You can, for instance, float an object on a cushion of air. This is most easily accomplished with a low-friction track—a track whose surface is dotted with little holes through which pressurized air blows. The air serves as a cushion on which a conveniently shaped object can float, with friction between the object and the track all but eliminated. Alternatively, one can use wheeled carts with low-friction bearings on an ordinary track. Figure 4.2 shows low-friction carts you may have encountered in your lab or class. Although there is still some friction both for low-friction tracks and for the track shown in Figure 4.2, this friction is so small that it can be ignored during an experiment. For example, if the track in Figure 4.2 is horizontal, carts move along its length without slowing down appreciably. In other words:

In the absence of friction, objects moving along a horizontal track keep moving without slowing down.

Another advantage of using such carts is that the track constrains the motion to being along a straight line. We can then use a high-speed camera to record the cart's position at various instants, and from that information determine its speed and acceleration.

4.1 Are the accelerations of the motions shown in Figure 4.1 constant? (b) For which surface is the acceleration largest in magnitude?

4.2 Inertia

We can discover one of the most fundamental principles of physics by studying how the velocities of two low-friction carts change when the carts collide. Let's first see what happens with two identical carts. We call these standard carts because we'll use them as a standard against which to compare the motion of other carts. First we put one standard cart on the low-friction track and make sure it doesn't move. Next we place the second cart on the track some distance from the first one and give the second cart a shove toward the first. The two carts collide, and the collision alters the velocities of both.

ANNOTATION

Alan: I remember, in high school, being amazed at how quickly carts could travel on these tracks - air would blow up through these tiny holes evenly distributed along the length of the track and the cart would essentially float on the air and consequently - the cart would move very quickly with the slightest push.

Bob: Although there is no way to create frictionless surfaces, I find it interesting that we consider experiments "in the absence of friction." In a way, this relates back to Chapter 1.5 where we talked about the importance of having too little or too much information in our representations. In some cases, the friction is so insignificant that we ignore it (simplifying our representation).

Claire: Does this only apply to solid surfaces? I feel as if a substance that floats on water either has negligible or very little friction.

Alan: Why is this? I don't get it.

David: believe this applies to almost every surface, although I'm not sure if water would count more as resistance than friction. Anyway, the best example I could think of would be a surf board. If people who were paddling in the same direction as the waves experienced no resistance, they would continually speed up, and eventually reach very high speeds. However, in reality if they were two stop paddling they'd slow down and only the waves would slowly push them to shore.

Alan: Is it possible to have a surface, in real life, that inflicts NO friction at all?

Erica: Doesn't air resistance factor into this at all? It seems that it is not enough for there to be only an absence of friction for something to keep moving without slowing down. What about some other opposing force - like air resistance? Or is air resistance just another example of friction?

Bob: The key word is "appreciably". In the absence of friction, the cart does not slow down appreciably but still would a little due to air resistance

Alan: a) yes b) concrete has the acceleration of greatest magnitude

Erica: I would think that they are not constant because if we think of the formula $F=ma$, the force of friction is different in every case so that would change the acceleration value (where mass would stay the same since it's assumed that the object is the same in each situation).

Claire: As a theoretical question about inertia, if an object in motion will stay in motion, but is being affected by friction, will it slow down perpetually but remain in motion, or will it eventually stop completely due to the friction? Just curious.

Alan: With friction everything slows down to a half at one point or another. It is only if an outside force acts on the object if that object will maintain motion after the effects of inertia.

Claire: Standard carts: identical carts in mass, shape, etc. I like this notion of standard carts, it provides a good baseline to compare other motion and to understand the concepts before building on it.

Alan: Great visual representation of friction! It is interesting how this compares the velocity of things on different surfaces

Bob: The rougher the surface, the more friction between the surface and the wooden block, and thus acceleration will be greater.

EVALUATION

No substance. Does not demonstrate any thoughtful interpretation of the text.

0

Annotation interprets the text and demonstrates understanding of concepts through analogy and synthesis of multiple concepts.

2

Possibly insightful question but does not elaborate on thought process, nor demonstrate thoughtful reading of the text.

1

Question does not explicitly identify point of confusion nor demonstrates thoughtful reading or interpretation of the text.

0

Response demonstrates a thoughtful explanation with a claim substantiated with a concrete example

2

Question exhibits superficial reading, but does not exhibit any interpretation of the textbook.

1

Demonstrates thoughtful interpretation of the text by refuting a statement through a counter example.

2

Responds to the question by thoughtfully interpreting the text

2

Annotation not backed up by any reasoning or theoretical assumptions. No evidence of thoughtful reading of text.

0

Response backed up with reasoning that demonstrates an interpretation of the text and applies understanding of concepts

2

Profound question that goes beyond the material covered in the textbook.

2

Demonstrates some thought but does not really address Claire's question

1

No substance. Does not demonstrate any thoughtful reading.

0

No substance. Does not demonstrate any thoughtful reading.

0

Interprets the graph and applies understanding of both the concept of friction, how a v-t graph corresponds to acceleration and the relationship between the force of friction and acceleration

2

CONCEPTS

and F_3 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of F_2 is half as great. Force F_1 is horizontal, F_2 and F_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

As we saw earlier in the chap...

Objects executing motion ar...

Generally, for rotating bod... 2

Does torque have the s... 3

ANNOTATION

EVALUATION

Alan: I remember, in high school, being amazed at how quickly carts could travel on these tracks - air would blow up through these tiny holes evenly distributed along the length of the track and the cart would essentially float on the air and consequently - the cart would move very quickly with the slightest push.

Bob: Although there is no way to create frictionless surfaces, I find it interesting that we consider experiments "in the absence of friction." In a way, this relates back to Chapter 1.5 where we talked about the importance of having too little or too much information in our representations. In some cases, the friction is so insignificant that we ignore it (simplifying our representation).

Claire: Does this only apply to solid surfaces? I feel as if a substance that floats on water either has negligible or very little friction.

Alan: Why is this? I don't get it.

David: believe this applies to almost every surface, although I'm not sure if water would count more as resistance than friction. Anyways, the best example I could think of would be a surf board. If people who were paddling in the same direction as the waves experienced no resistance, they would continually speed up, and eventually reach very high speeds. However, in reality if they were two stop paddling they'd slow down and only the waves would slowly push them to shore.

No substance. Does not demonstrate any thoughtful interpretation of the text.

0

Annotation interprets the text and demonstrates understanding of concepts through analogy and synthesis of multiple concepts.

2

Possibly insightful question but does not elaborate on thought process, nor demonstrate thoughtful reading of the text.

1

Question does not explicitly identify point of confusion nor demonstrates thoughtful reading or interpretation of the text.

0

Response demonstrates a thoughtful explanation with a claim substantiated with a concrete example

2

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

and \vec{F}_3 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_2 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

As we saw earlier in the chap...

Objects executing motion ar...

Generally, for rotating bod... 2

Does torque have the s... 3

rubric-based assessment

(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .



lever arm:
perpendicular distance
from line of action of force to rotation axis (pivot)

- quality (thoughtful reading & interpretation)

action of the force and the axis of rotation. So, the torque caused by a force exerted on an object is the product of the magnitude of the force and its lever arm distance. It can be

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr} exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr} is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the net torque about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. In general, for any rigid body, the sum of the torques about any point is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point where a force is exerted, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

how do you process all of that??

- quantity (minimum 10)
- timeliness (before class)
- distribution (not clustered)

On the very left, we see th...

It's interesting that the white ...

Is the reference frame i... 2

How does force affect ... 2

I was curious about this, t... 3

I understand partially w... 3

In this class, we always emp...

It's not before this wa... 2

The extended free-body d... 4

This just means the net... 3

I don't understand why ... 3

It's important to be in...

the reference frame, which we...

Torque is the ability of a forc...

The type of diagram to use d...

It sounds like it is sayin... 3

So then do we have a p... 5

Since torque is the cross pro...

The right-hand rule can al... 3

I don't understand how ... 3

Orientation-based descriptio...

I don't really understand... 2

How small is small? As ... 3

I think it would be slightly ...

While I believe I underst... 3

(a) The change in rotationa...


As we saw earlier in the chap...

Objects executing motion ar...

Generally, for rotating bod... 2

Does torque have the s... 3

rubric-based assessment



The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 about the left end of the rod is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counter-clockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is r_2 . This is the same result obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero.

- On the very left we see th...
- It's interesting that white ...
- I understand partially w...
- In this class, we always emp...
- before this wa...
- The extended free-body d...
- This just means the net...
- I don't understand why ...
- So then do we have a p...
- Since torque is the cross pro...
- The right-hand rule can al...
- I don't understand how ...
- Orientation-based descriptio...
- I don't really understand...
- How small is small? As ...
- I think it would be slightly ...
- While I believe I underst...
- (a) The change in rotationa...
- As we saw earlier in the chap...
- Objects executing motion ar...
- Generally, for rotating bod...
- Does torque have the s...

fully automated

you process all of that??

assessment

- quality (though future research on interpretation)


- timeliness (before class)

- distribution (not clustered)



Enter your comment or question and press Enter

(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .



lever arm:
perpendicular distance
from line of action of force to rotation axis (pivot)

- specialized machine learning algorithm

- assesses intellectual content

- exceeds intercoder reliability

I don't understand how this combination of factors tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like you would just know some sort of direction from the force vector.

Oct 20 12:09 am

I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. For example, in the diagram above, you can explain how to choose the sign of the torque.

Oct 20 12:38 am

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to " r " it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force.

Oct 22 8:48 pm

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr} exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr} is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about any point is zero.

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. You can repeat the calculation for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The result is that the sum of the torques about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point to calculate the torques. We can choose a reference point that is not on the object. For example, you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.



12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_3 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_2 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

On the very left, we see th...

It's interesting that the white ...

Is the reference frame i... 2

How does force affect ... 2

I was curious about this, t... 3

I understand partially w... 3

In this class, we always emp...

The part before this wa... 2

The extended free-body d... 4

the net... 3

I don't understand why ... 3

It is important to note that... 2

This reminds me of when we ...

Torque is the ability of a forc...

The type of diagram to use d...

It sounds like it is sayin... 3

So then do we have a p... 5

Since torque is the cross pro...

The right-hand rule can al... 3

I don't understand how ... 3

Orientation-based descriptio...

I don't really understand... 2

How small is small? As ... 3

I think it would be slightly ...

While I believe I underst... 3

(a) The change in rotationa...

As we saw earlier in the chap...

Objects executing motion ar...

Generally, for rotating bod... 2

Does torque have the s... 3

gradebook

Gradebook

Click on a grade to see details about the student's assignment.

Copy to clipboard

Download

Search:

Student Name	Student ID	Chapter 1	Chapter 2	Ch
[blurred]		3	2	
[blurred]		3	3	
[blurred]		3	3	
[blurred]				
[blurred]		3	3	
[blurred]		3		
[blurred]		1	2	
[blurred]				
[blurred]		0		
[blurred]		3	3	

Release to students

Release to students

Re

gradebook

Gradebook

Click on a grade to see details about the student's assignment.

Copy to clipboard

Download

Search:

Student Name	Student ID	Chapter 1	Chapter 2	Ch
[blurred]	[blurred]	3	[blurred]	[blurred]
[blurred]	[blurred]	3	[blurred]	[blurred]
[blurred]	[blurred]	3	[blurred]	[blurred]
[blurred]	[blurred]	3	[blurred]	[blurred]
[blurred]	[blurred]	3	[blurred]	[blurred]
[blurred]	[blurred]	3	[blurred]	[blurred]
[blurred]	[blurred]	1	[blurred]	[blurred]
[blurred]	[blurred]	0	[blurred]	[blurred]
[blurred]	[blurred]	3	[blurred]	[blurred]

Total number of annotations **16**

Total number of annotations submitted on time **11**

Average quality of top 10 annotations submitted on time **1.80**


2 = demonstrates thorough and thoughtful reading and insightful interpretation of the reading, 1 = demonstrates reading, but no (or only superficial) interpretation of the reading, 0 = does not demonstrate any thoughtful reading or interpretation

Distribution of annotations **3.8**

0 = clustered, 5 = evenly distributed throughout assignment

Assignment score **1**

scores range from 0 to 3



action of the force and the axis of rotation. So, the torque caused by a force exerted on an object is the product of the magnitude of the force and its lever arm distance. It can be

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr}^c exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr}^c is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. This is true for any reference point. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

connect pre-class and in-class activities

I don't think you can think about the direction of the lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque.

I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction.

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force.

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

- On the very left, we see th...
- It's interesting that the white ...
- Is the refernece frame i...
- How does force affect ...
- I was curious about this, t...
- I understand partially w...
- In this class, we always emp...
- The part before this wa...
- The extended free-body d...
- This just means the net...
- I don't understand why ...
- It is important to note that...
- Torque is the ability of a forc...
- The type of diagram to use d...
- It sounds like it is sayin...
- So then do we have a p...
- Since torque is the cross pro...
- The right-hand rule can al...
- I don't understand how ...
- Orientation-based descriptio...
- I don't really understand...
- How small is small? As ...
- I think it would be slightly ...
- While I believe I underst...
- (a) The change in rotationa...
- As we saw earlier in the chap...
- Objects executing motion ar...
- Generally, for rotating bod...
- Does torque have the s...

Confusion report for Chapter 24

right hand rule (11 questions)

- JB Can someone in simpler terms explain the right- hand rule? +1
- WJ Is there another way, besides the right hand rule, to find the direction of the magnetic field with a current? 2
- SB Using the right hand rule, I believe the answer is D. Is that correct? 3
Show more...

direction magnetic field (8 questions)

- CP Why is it that the magnet field points away from the north pole and towards the south pole? When on the previous page it stated that the direction of the magnetic field is the direction that the north pole of a compass needle points. +2
- AB How can you determine which direction the magnetic field will point towards? +1
- KH So whichever way the north pole faces is the direction of the magnetic field but that doesn't always mean its pointing true north? +1
Show more...


earth magnetic field (6 questions)

- CP Does that mean that the compass will be distracted from the Earth's magnetic field and use the magnetic field that the current of the wire gives off? 2
- AK Can someone explain why this type of bacteria knows what direction the earth's magnetic fields are facing? 3
- J Does the circular loop of current have any similarities with the look of the earths magnetic field? They kind of look similar to me. 3
Show more...

motivating factors

Intrinsic:

- social interaction



action of the force and the axis of rotation. So, the torque caused by a force exerted on an object is the product of the magnitude of the force and its lever arm distance. It can be

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr} exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr} is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. You can repeat the calculation for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

- On the very left, we see th...
- It's interesting that the white ...
- Is the refernece frame i... 2
- How does force affect ... 2
- I was curious about this, t... 3
- I understand partially w... 3
- In this class, we always emp...
- The part before this wa... 2
- The extended free-body d... 4
- This just means the net... 3
- I don't understand why ... 3
- It is important to note that... 2
- This reminds me of when we ...
- Torque is the ability of a forc...
- The type of diagram to use d...
- It sounds like it is sayin... 3
- So then do we have a p... 5
- Since torque is the cross pro...
- The right-hand rule can al... 3
- I don't understand how ... 3
- Orientation-based descriptio...
- I don't really understand... 2
- How small is small? As ... 3
- I think it would be slightly ...
- While I believe I underst... 3
- (a) The change in rotationa...
- As we saw earlier in the chap...
- Objects executing motion ar...
- Generally, for rotating bod... 2
- Does torque have the s... 3

I don't understand how this combination of factors tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque. Oct 20 12:09 am

I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction. Oct 20 12:38 am


This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force. Oct 22 8:48 pm

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

motivating factors

Intrinsic:

- social interaction
- tie-in to in-class activity



action of the force and the axis of rotation. So, the torque caused by a force exerted on an object is the product of the magnitude of the force and its lever arm distance. It can be

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr}^c exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr}^c is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. We can repeat the calculation for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

- On the very left, we see th...
- It's interesting that the white ...
- Is the reference frame i... 2
- How does force affect ... 2
- I was curious about this, t... 3
- I understand partially w... 3
- In this class, we always emp...
- The part before this wa... 2
- The extended free-body d... 4
- This just means the net... 3
- I don't understand why ... 3
- It is important to note that... 2
- This reminds me of when we ...
- Torque is the ability of a forc...
- The type of diagram to use d...
- It sounds like it is sayin... 3
- So then do we have a p... 5
- Since torque is the cross pro...
- The right-hand rule can al... 3
- I don't understand how ... 3
- Orientation-based descriptio...
- I don't really understand... 2
- How small is small? As ... 3
- I think it would be slightly ...
- While I believe I underst... 3
- (a) The change in rotationa...
- As we saw earlier in the chap...
- Objects executing motion ar...
- Generally, for rotating bod... 2
- Does torque have the s... 3

I don't understand how this combination of magnitude and direction tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque.

I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction.

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force.

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

motivating factors


Intrinsic:

- social interaction

- tie-in to in-class activity

Extrinsic:

- assessment (fully automated)



action of the force and the axis of rotation. So, the torque caused by a force exerted on an object is the product of the magnitude of the force and its lever arm distance. It can be

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr} exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr} is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. We can repeat the calculation for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point where the force from the pivot acts, we can ignore that force from the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

- On the very left, we see th...
- It's interesting that the white ...
- Is the reference frame i...
- How does force affect ...
- I was curious about this, t...
- I understand partially w...
- In this class, we always emp...
- The part before this wa...
- The extended free-body d...
- This just means the net...
- I don't understand why ...
- It is important to note that...
- This reminds me of when we ...
- Torque is the ability of a forc...
- The type of diagram to use d...
- It sounds like it is sayin...
- So then do we have a p...
- Since torque is the cross pro...
- The right-hand rule can al...
- I don't understand how ...
- Orientation-based descriptio...
- I don't really understand...
- How small is small? As ...
- I think it would be slightly ...
- While I believe I underst...
- (a) The change in rotationa...
- As we saw earlier in the chap...
- Objects executing motion ar...
- Generally, for rotating bod...
- Does torque have the s...


I don't understand how this comment tells you anything about direction? Aren't magnitude and lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque.

I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. Magnitude and direction separate. Oct 20 12:38 am

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. Torque is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force.

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

motivating factors



The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_3 , exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_3 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is r_2 . The torque due to \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $(r_1 + r_2)F_3 - r_2F_2 = r_1F_3 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result as before.

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the right end. You can choose any reference point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. For example, if we choose the pivot as the reference point, we do not need to consider the force of the pivot in the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

- On the very left, we see th...
- It's interesting that the white ...
- Is the reference frame i...
- How does force affect ...
- I was curious about this, t...
- I understand partially w...
- The part before this wa...
- The extended free-body d...
- I don't understand why ...
- It is important to note that...
- Torque is the ability of a forc...
- So then do we have a p...
- Since torque is the cross pro...
- The right-hand rule can al...
- Orientation-based descriptio...
- I don't really understand...
- How small is small? As ...
- I think it would be slightly ...
- While I believe I underst...
- (a) The change in rotationa...
- As we saw earlier in the chap...
- Objects executing motion ar...
- Generally, for rotating bod...
- Does torque have the s...

"I think the Perusall app and annotation system is way better than just reading a textbook normally... I've been reading for almost four hours now and haven't gotten bored"

Harvard student




lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque.

direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction.

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force.

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

motivating factors



"It makes the book fun to read..."

All the other students on my floor are disap-

pointed their Prof isn't using Perusall because

they don't read the book."

Ohio State student

lever arm distance both scalar quantities? It seems like we would need to know some sort of direction to calculate torque.

I think you may be able to think about magnitude and direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction.

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force.

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_3 exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_3 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$ that of \vec{F}_2 is r_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_3 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained when we calculated the sum of the torques about the right end of the rod.

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the right end of the rod. This is not surprising, since the rod is stationary and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, for a stationary object, the sum of the torques about any point is zero. This is the condition for rotational equilibrium.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever


Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

- On the very left, we see th...
- It's interesting that the white ...
- Is the referrence frame i... 2
- How does force affect ... 2
- I was curious about this, t... 3
- I understand partially w... 3
- In this class, we always emp...
- The part before this wa... 2
- The extended free-body d... 4
- The type of diagram to use d... 3
- I don't understand why ... 3
- It's important to note that... 2
- Torque is the ability of a forc...
- The type of diagram to use d... 3
- So then do we have a p... 5
- Since torque is the cross pro...
- The right-hand rule can al... 3
- Orientation-based descriptio...
- I don't really understand... 2
- How small is small? As ... 3
- I think it would be slightly ...
- While I believe I underst... 3
- (a) The change in rotationa...
- As we saw earlier in the chap...
- Objects executing motion ar...
- Generally, for rotating bod... 2
- Does torque have the s... 3

class test results

(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this



On the very left, we see th...

It's interesting that the white ...

reference frame i... 2

does force affect ... 2

curious about this, t... 3

understand partially w... 3

class, we always emp... 3

part before this wa... 2

ended free-body d... 4

just means the net... 3

t understand why ... 3

important to note that... 2

minds me of when we ... 3

is the ability of a forc... 3

e of diagram to use d... 3

nds like it is sayin... 3

en do we have a p... 5

orque is the cross pro... 3

nt-hand rule can al... 3

t understand how ... 3

tion-based descriptio... 3

t really understand... 2

small is small? As ... 3

k it would be slightly ... 3

I believe I underst... 3

(a) The change in rotation... 3

As we saw earlier in the chap... 3

Objects executing motion ar... 3

Generally, for rotating bod... 2

Does torque have the s... 3

I don't understand how factors tells you any lever arm distance both s know some sort of direct

I think you may be a direction separately. distance, you can attach parameters of the system explain how to choose th

This is a great question. I can think of this in terms torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r force. We know that force in regards to "r" it can also means is that this distance to the point where the force general convention (the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force.

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of **Figure 12.7**. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_3 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_2 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

(a) The change in rotation... 3

As we saw earlier in the chap... 3

Objects executing motion ar... 3

Generally, for rotating bod... 2


Does torque have the s... 3

class test results

(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .



The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this



close to 95%!

number of chapters missed before class

I don't understand how factors tells you any lever arm distance both s know some sort of direct

I think you may be a direction separately. distance, you can attach parameters of the system explain how to choose th

This is a great question. You can think of this in terms of torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r the force. We know that force in regards to " r " it can also means is that this distance to the point where the force general convention (the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force.

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of [Figure 12.7](#). Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_3 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_2 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

On the very left, we see th...

It's interesting that the white ...

reference frame i... 2

does force affect ... 2

curious about this, t... 3

understand partially w... 3

class, we always emp... 2

part before this wa... 2

ended free-body d... 4

just means the net... 3

it understand why ... 3

important to note that... 2

minds me of when we ... 2

is the ability of a forc... 2

of diagram to use d... 2

nds like it is sayin... 3

then do we have a p... 5

orque is the cross pro... 2

nt-hand rule can al... 3

it understand how ... 3

tion-based descriptio... 2

it really understand... 2

small is small? As ... 3

it would be slightly ... 2

I believe I underst... 3

(a) The change in rotation...


As we saw earlier in the chap...

Objects executing motion ar...

Generally, for rotating bod... 2

Does torque have the s... 3

class test results

(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .

lever arm:
perpendicular distance
from line of action of force to rotation axis (pivot)

action of the force and the axis of rotation. So, the torque caused by a force exerted on an object is the product of the magnitude of the force and its lever arm distance. It can be

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr}^c exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr}^c is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. You can repeat the calculation for the right end of the rod and find that the sum of the torques is also zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.

12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

every student prepared for every class

I don't understand how this combination of Oct 20 12:09 am

I think you may be able to think about the direction separately. So, after multiplying this magnitude and distance, you can attach a sign to the torque based on the defined parameters of the system. In the following paragraph, they start to explain how to choose this direction. Oct 20 12:38 am

This is a great question. To further elaborate on this, we can think of this in terms of the Torque equation. The equation for torque is $\tau = r \times F$, with r being the level arm distance and F being force. We know that force is a vector vector from previous chapters, and in regards to "r" it can also be thought of as the radial vector. What this means is that this distance from the pivot points from the axis of rotation to the point where the force acts. In as previously mentioned, there is a general convention (the right-hand rule) that is used to determine the direction which happens to be perpendicular to both the radius from the axis and to the force. Oct 22 8:48 pm

Enter your comment or question and press Enter

On the very left, we see th...

It's interesting that the white ...

Is the refernece frame i... 2

How does force affect ... 2

I was curious about this, t... 3

I understand partially w... 3

In this class, we always emp...

The part before this wa... 2

The extended free-body d... 4

This just means the net... 3

I don't understand why ... 3

It is important to note that... 2

This reminds me of other u... 2

To be is a...

The type of diagram to use d...

It sounds like it is sayin... 3

So then do we have a p... 5

Since torque is the cross pro...

The right-hand rule can al... 3

I don't understand how ... 3

Orientation-based descriptio...

I don't really understand... 2

How small is small? As ... 3

I think it would be slightly ...

While I believe I underst... 3

(a) The change in rotationa...

As we saw earlier in the chap...

Objects executing motion ar...

Generally, for rotating bod... 2



Does torque have the s... 3

CHEM1210: General Chemistry

Matt Stoltzfus
Ohio State University

525 students

Brown Lemay 13th ed (Pearson)




MSE220 : Introduction to Materials and Manufacturing


Steve Yalisove
University Michigan

74 students

McCallister 8th ed (Wiley)



(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .



- virtually 100% completion of assignments
- improved use of class time

CONCEPTS

In the situations depicted in Figures 12.4 and 12.5 we used the pivot to calculate the lever arm distances. This is a natural choice because that is the point about which the object under consideration is free to rotate. However, torques also play a role for stationary objects that are suspended or supported at several different points and that are not free to rotate—for example, a plank or bridge supported at either end. To determine what reference point to use in such cases, complete the following exercise.

Exercise 12.1 Reference point

Consider again the rod in Figure 12.4. Calculate the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod.

SOLUTION I begin by making a sketch of the rod and the three forces exerted on it, showing their points of application on the rod (Figure 12.6).

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr} exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr} is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. You can repeat the calculation for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.



12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_3 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_2 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .



lever arm:
perpendicular distance
from line of action of force to rotation axis (pivot)

- virtually 100% completion of assignments
- improved use of class time

CONCEPTS

In the situations depicted in Figures 12.4 and 12.5 we used the pivot to calculate the lever arm distances. This is a natural choice because that is the point about which the object under consideration is free to rotate. However, torques also play a role for stationary objects that are suspended or supported at several different points that are not free to rotate. For example, a person can stand on a platform at either end. To determine what reference point to use in such cases, complete the following exercise.

Exercise 12.1 Reference point

Consider again the rod in Figure 12.4. Calculate the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod.

SOLUTION I begin by making a sketch of the rod and the three forces exerted on it, showing their points of application on the rod (Figure 12.6).

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr} exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr} is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . Taking into account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero, just like the sum of the torques about the pivot. You can repeat the calculation for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general we can say:

For a stationary object, the sum of the torques is zero.

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.


Figure 12.7 shows a seesaw that is not rotating about the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. How can this be if torques cause objects to accelerate rotationally?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_3 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_2 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

all at no cost & without additional instructor effort!

(b) Multiply magnitude of \vec{F} by r_{\perp} .



lever arm:
perpendicular distance
from line of action of force to rotation axis (pivot)

action of the force and the axis of rotation. So, the torque caused by a force exerted on an object is the product of the magnitude of the force and its lever arm distance. It can be written equivalently as rF_{\perp} and as $r_{\perp}F$. The torque depends on the choice of direction for measuring θ . In Figure 12.4, for example, the torque caused by \vec{F}_1 about the pivot tends to rotate the rod in the direction of increasing θ and so is positive; the torque caused by \vec{F}_2 is negative. The sum of the two torques about the pivot is then $r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. As we've seen, the two torques are equal in magnitude, so the sum of the torques is zero. Where the sum of the torques is not zero, the rod's rotational acceleration is nonzero, and so its rotational velocity and angular momentum change.

In the situations depicted in Figures 12.4 and 12.5 we used the pivot to calculate the lever arm distances. This is a natural choice because that is the point about which the object under consideration is free to rotate. However, torques also play a role for stationary objects that are suspended or supported at several different points and that are not free to rotate—for example, a plank or bridge supported at either end. To determine what reference point to use in such cases, complete the following exercise.

Exercise 12.1 Reference point

Consider again the rod in Figure 12.4. Calculate the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod.

SOLUTION I begin by making a sketch of the rod and the three forces exerted on it, showing their points of application on the rod (Figure 12.6).

The lever arm distances must now be determined relative to the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of force \vec{F}_1 to this point is zero, and so the torque caused by that force about the left end of the rod is zero. If I choose counterclockwise as the positive direction of rotation, \vec{F}_2 causes a negative torque about the left end of the rod; the force \vec{F}_{pr} exerted by the pivot causes a positive torque about the left end of the rod. The lever arm distance of \vec{F}_2 about the left end of the rod is $r_1 + r_2$; that of \vec{F}_{pr} is r_1 . Because the rod is at rest, the magnitude of the force exerted by the pivot is equal to the sum of the forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 . To account the signs of the torques, we find that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is $r_1(F_1 + F_2) - (r_1 + r_2)F_2 = r_1F_1 - r_2F_2$. This is the same result we obtained for the torques about the pivot, and so the sum of the torques about the left end is zero. ✓

Exercise 12.1 shows that the sum of the torques about the left end of the rod is zero. The same is true for the torques about the right end of the rod or any other point, and each time you will find that the sum of the torques is zero. The reason is that the rod is not rotating about any point, and so the sum of the torques must be zero about any point. In general, we can say:

For a stationary object we can choose any reference point we like to calculate torques. It pays to choose a reference point that simplifies the calculation. As you have seen, we do not need to consider any force that is exerted at the reference point. So, by putting the reference point at the point of application of a force, we can eliminate that force from the calculation.



12.2 In the situation depicted in Figure 12.2a, you must continue to exert a force on the seesaw to keep the child off the ground. The force you exert causes a torque on the seesaw, and yet the seesaw's rotational acceleration is zero. Can this be? If torques cause rotation, how can the seesaw be stationary?

Example 12.2 Torques on lever

Three forces are exerted on the lever of Figure 12.7. Forces \vec{F}_1 and \vec{F}_2 are equal in magnitude, and the magnitude of \vec{F}_3 is half as great. Force \vec{F}_1 is horizontal, \vec{F}_2 and \vec{F}_3 are vertical, and the lever makes an angle of 45° with the horizontal. Do these forces cause the lever to rotate about the pivot? If so, in which direction?

- enter instructor access code
- upload any PDF file to play around
- we'll help you set up with book of your choice

follow up questions? support@perusall.com

Clickers:

Itichile.cl

For a copy of this presentation:

ericmazur.com

Follow me!



eric_mazur