Gender, Educational Reform, and Instructional Assessment: Part I

Laura McCullough, UW-Stout Catherine H. Crouch, Harvard Univ.

Is there a gender problem in physics?

Women get 19% of bachelor's degrees in physics, 21% of master's, and 13% of doctorates Overall science achievement shows gender gap with boys doing better than girls Most published research at the K-12 level Anecdotal & unpublished evidence in college physics (UMN, Dancy, Blue) One study (Grim, 1999) found gender difference on FCI pretest, little difference on post-test

Why study this issue?

- One goal of PER is to improve physics education for all
- Current reform effort is strong; reforms serving subpopulations?
- Is this gender gap showing at the college level in physics?

Our study

At 1998 conference, participants from several different institutions gathered to discuss gender issues and this study evolved Eight different schools: private and public, large and small

Collected FCI pretest and post-test data, gender, and where possible, high school physics background and grades

School	Type	Instructor	Pedagogy
		gender	
Charleston	Small private	Female	Interactive
Creighton	Small private	Mixed	Traditional
Harvard	Medium private	Male	Interactive
U Minn.	Large public	Mixed	Hybrid

School	Туре	Instructor	Pedagogy
		gender	
Purdue	Large private	Mixed	Hybrid
RPI	Small private	Mixed	Interactive
Texas	Large public	Mixed	Mixed
Tech			
WPI	Small private	Male	Traditional
Control Cont		and the state	and and the

Gender gap?

Pre % Women (N=780) 35.6 (.5) Men (N=1997) 50.3 (.4)

Pre %Post %%Women (N=780) 35.6 (.5)57.4 (.7)Men (N=1997)50.3 (.4)68.6 (.5)

Pre %Post %% gainWomen (N=780)35.6 (.5)57.4 (.7)21.8 (.6)Men (N=1997)50.3 (.4)68.6 (.5)18.4 (.4)

Pre %Post %% gainWomen (N=780) 35.6 (.5)57.4 (.7)21.8 (.6)Men (N=1997)50.3 (.4)68.6 (.5)18.4 (.4)

<g>
Women (N=780) .34 (.01)
Men (N=1997) .39 (.01)



Pretest scores by preparation

Avg pretest score	Women (N=469)	Men (N=1129)
No HS physics	25.6	41.3
HS physics (reg/AP)	35.5	50.2
College	33.3	58.1
HS & College	34.4	45.9
AP physics	48.7	59.5

Grades

The second second second second	the set and the	the second second
% of gender	Women	Men
receiving	(N=526)	(N=1293)
grade	A Start	La a L
A	15.4	23.9
B	41.1	39.8
C	36.9	31.0
D	5.7	3.9
F	1.0	1.4
TADO - MARTA	De no repe	- 20 1 1 1 1 1 - 20,

Yes, we have a problem...

- Gender gap coming into college physics;gap is not explained by high school physicspreparationProblem continues in intro college courses
- Post-test scores and grades show continued gender disparity

Why study this issue?

- Traditional instruction does not serve physics students as well as it should PER: improving physics teaching and learning **Reform efforts** Pre-existing gender gap Ideally, PER reform efforts ameliorate this gender gap
 - So assess gender effects of reform efforts

What does this mean?

We need more research in this area:
Effects of different pedagogies; especially new reform curricula
What affects students' physics understanding (FCI scores and grades), especially possible differential gender effect
Individual classroom and teacher level — what effects are occurring at the classroom level